

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.CHITAMBARESH

MONDAY, THE 26TH DAY OF OCTOBER 2015/4TH KARTHIKA, 1937

WP(C).No. 29085 of 2015 (I)

PETITIONER(S):

SHAHEEDA HAMSA, AGED 40 YEARS
W/o.HAMSA, PUTHIYAVEETIL HOUSE, FLAT NO.102
RUBY ENCLAVE, POTTAYIL LINE, POOTHOLE P.O
THRISSUR DISTRICT.

BY ADVS.SRI.SANTHOSH P.PODUVAL
SMT.R.RAJITHA
SMT.VINAYA V.NAIR

RESPONDENT(S):

1. THRISSUR CORPORATION
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY, CORPORATION OFFICE
THRISSUR 680 001.
2. THE ASSISTANT ENGINEER
THRISSUR CORPORATION OFFICE, THRISSUR 680 001.

R1,R2 BY ADV. SRI.K.P.VIJAYAN
R1,R2 BY ADV. SRI.V.N.HARIDAS
R BY SRI.K.P.VIJAYAN,SC,THRISSUR CORPORATION

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON
26-10-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WP(C).No. 29085 of 2015 (I)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT P1. COPY OF THE ORDER DATED 29.7.15 ISSUED BY THE 2ND
RESPONDENT.

EXHIBIT P2. COPY OF THE PHOTOGRAPHS SHOWING THE PETITIONERS PROPERTY.

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS : NIL.

//TRUE COPY//

P.S. TO JUDGE.

V.CHITAMBARESH, J.

W.P (C) No.29085 of 2015

Dated this the 26th day of October, 2015

J U D G M E N T

Ext.P1 order declining building permit to the petitioner was obviously not preceded by a local inspection. The same is necessary to take note of the situation on ground especially the availability of buildings in the neighbourhood.

2. I quash Ext.P1 order and direct the first respondent to pass orders anew in the application for building permit. The law settled by this Court in the various decisions shall be adhered to in the exercise.

The writ Petition is disposed of.

Sd/-
V.CHITAMBARESH,
Judge.

nj/27.10.15