

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

FRIDAY, THE 25TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2015/3RD ASWINA, 1937

WP(C).No. 29054 of 2015 (F)

PETITIONERS:

1. THE SECRETARY
CORPORATE EDUCATIONAL AGENCY
BISHOP'S HOUSE, KOTHAMANGALAM-686 691.
2. CHACKO K.C., CLERK
ST. GEORGE H.S.S., KALANICKAL
THEKKUMBHAGOM P.O.-685 585
THODUPUZHA.
3. JOSH Y K. PAUL, CLERK
ST. SEBASTIAN'S H.S.
MEMADANGU P.O., PIN-686 672
MOOVATTUPUZHA.

BY ADVS.SRI.ABRAHAM VAKKANAL (SR.)
SRI.PAUL ABRAHAM VAKKANAL
SRI.DIJO SEBASTIAN
SMT.VINEETHA SUSAN THOMAS
SRI.J.VISHNU DEVARAJ

RESPONDENTS:

1. THE DIRECTOR OF PULBIC INSTRUCTION
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN-695 001.
2. THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER
MOOVATTUPUZHA, PIN-686 673.
3. THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER
THODUPUZHA, PIN-685 584.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT. M.J. RAJASREE

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
25-09-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX

PETITIONERS' EXHIBITS:-

- EXHIBIT P1 - COPY OF THE TRANSFER/PROMOTION ORDER OF CHACKO K.C. DATED 25.05.2015.
- EXHIBIT P2 - COPY OF THE TRANSFER/PROMOTION ORDER OF JOSH Y DATED 15.05.2015.
- EXHIBIT P3 - COPY OF THE STAFF FIXATION ORDER NO.D.DIS.B1/4583/2014 DATED 15.07.2014.
- EXHIBIT P4 - COPY OF THE CIRCULAR NO.58604/J2/12/G.EDN. DATED 06.11.2012.
- EXHIBIT P5 - COPY OF THE LETTER NO.49275/J2 14/G.EDN. DATED 29.08.2014 FROM THE EDUCATION SECRETARY TO DEO.
- EXHIBIT P6 - COPY OF APPROVAL CERTIFICATE FROM DEO(R3) DATED 14.09.2015.
- EXHIBIT P7 - COPY OF THE ORDER FROM DEO (R2) DATED 05.08.2015.
- EXHIBIT P8 - COPY OF THE LETTER FROM DEO (R2) DATED 01.09.2015.
- EXHIBIT P9 - COPY OF REVISION PETITION FILED BY THE MANAGER BEFORE R1 DATED 03.09.2015.

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS:- NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

sp

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.

W.P(C). No.29054 of 2015

Dated this the 25th day of September, 2015.

JUDGMENT

The petitioner is aggrieved with the non-consideration of Ext.P9 revision petition dated 03.09.2015 filed before the first respondent. The prayer of the petitioner is to direct the first respondent to consider and dispose of Ext.P9 petition within a reasonable time.

In such circumstances, there will be a direction to the first respondent to consider and dispose of Ext.P9 petition as expeditiously as possible and after giving an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, at any rate, within five months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this judgment. It is made clear that, this Court has not made any observation on merits, which the first respondent will consider in accordance with law.

The writ petition is disposed of.

sp

Sd/-
K. VINOD CHANDRAN,
JUDGE
//True Copy//

P.A. to Judge