

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.CHITAMBARESH

MONDAY, THE 19TH DAY OF OCTOBER 2015/27TH ASWINA, 1937

WP(C).No. 28998 of 2015 (Y)

PETITIONER:

**SHIBU,
PUTHENPURAYCKAL HOUSE,
THRIKODITHANAM P.O,
CHANGANACHERRY
KOTTAYAM**

BY ADV. SRL.P.DEEPAK

RESPONDENTS:

**1. THE REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY,
KOTTAYAM 686 001**

**2. THE REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY,
PATHANAMTHITTA 689 645**

BY SR. GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT. ANITHA RAVINDRAN

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON
19-10-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

WP(C).No. 28998 of 2015 (Y)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

**EXHIBIT P1 A TRUE COPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE 1ST RESPONDENT
DATED 31-08-2015**

**EXHIBIT P2 A TRUE COPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE STATE TRANSPORT
AUTHORITY DATED 27-05-2014**

**EXHIBIT P3 TRUE COPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE SECRETARY, RTA
KOTTAYAM DATED 14-07-2015**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS:- NIL

/TRUE COPY/

P.A. TO JUDGE

DCS

V. CHITAMBARESH, J

W.P.(C). NO. 28998 OF 2015

Dated this the 19th day of October, 2015

JUDGMENT

The application for fresh regular permit put in by the petitioner stands adjourned by Ext. P1 proceedings. This is so done awaiting the concurrence of the sister Regional Transport Authority (Pathanamthitta). The petitioner contends that a general concurrence exists evidenced by Ext. P2 proceedings.

2. I direct the first respondent to take the proceedings to a logical end. The necessity to obtain fresh concurrence in the light of Ext.P2 general concurrence shall also be considered. The proceedings shall be taken to a logical end within a period of one month.

The writ petition is disposed of.

**V. CHITAMBARESH
JUDGE**

DCS