

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

FRIDAY, THE 25TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2015/3RD ASWINA, 1937

WP(C).No. 28906 of 2015 (K)

PETITIONER:

**MANAGER, AGED 67 YEARS
VILLIAPPALLY U.P. SCHOOL
VILLIAPPALLY P.O., VADAKARA
KOZHIKODE, PIN-673 542.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.JIJI THOMAS
SMT.SMITHA MATHEW
SRI.JOHNY ANDREWS**

RESPONDENTS:

- 1. STATE OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY
GENERAL EDUCATION DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 303.**
- 2. DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER
VATALARA, KOZHIKODE-673 542.**
- 3. ASSISTANT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER
THODANNUR, KOZHIKODE-673 541.**
- 4. BINDU A.K., UPSA, VILLIAPPALLY U.P. SCHOOL
P.O. VILLIAPPALLY, THODANNUR
KOZHIKODE DISTRICT-673 542.**

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT. M.J. RAJASREE

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
25-09-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

WP(C).No. 28906 of 2015 (K)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:-

- EXHIBIT P1 - COPY OF THE APPOINTMENT ORDER DATED 03.06.2013.
- EXHIBIT P2 - COPY OF THE REJECTION ORDER OF AEO DATED 15.11.2014.
- EXHIBIT P3 - COPY OF THE INTERIM ORDER IN W.A. NO.812/2015
DATED 09.04.2015.
- EXHIBIT P4 - COPY OF THE INTERIM ORDER IN WP(C) NO.708/15
DATED 09.01.2015.
- EXHIBIT P5 - COPY OF THE APPEAL DATED 06.05.015 ISSUED BY THE
PETITIONER.

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS:- NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

sp

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.

W.P(C). No.28906 of 2015

Dated this the 25th day of September, 2015.

JUDGMENT

The petitioner is aggrieved with the non-consideration of Ext.P5 appeal pending before the second respondent. The prayer of the petitioner is to direct the second respondent to consider and dispose of Ext.P5 within a reasonable period.

In such circumstances, there will be a direction to the second respondent to consider and dispose of Ext.P5 appeal as expeditiously as possible and after giving an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, at any rate, within three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this judgment. It is made clear that, this Court has not made any observation on merits, which the second respondent will consider in accordance with law.

The writ petition is disposed of.

sp

Sd/-
K. VINOD CHANDRAN,
JUDGE

//True Copy//

P.A. to Judge.