

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

WEDNESDAY, THE 23RD DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2015/1ST ASWINA, 1937

WP(C).No. 28774 of 2015 (V)

PETITIONER:

**BINCYMOL P JOSE AGED 39 YEARS
H.S.A. (MATHEMATICS), FATHER G.K.M. HIGH SCHOOL,
KANIYARAM, WAYANAD DISTRICT.**

BY ADV. DR.GEORGE ABRAHAM

RESPONDENTS:

- 1. THE CORPORATE MANAGER,
CORPORATE MANAGEMENT OF SCHOOLS, DIOCESE OF MANATAVADY,
PASTORAL CENTRE, P.B. NO.42,
MANANTHAVADY - 670 645.**
- 2. DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER, WAYANAD - 673 521**
- 3. DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION, WAYANAD - 673 521**
- 4. DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 695 003.**
- 5. STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
GENERAL EDUCATION DEPARTMENT, GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 695 001.**

R2 TO R5 BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT. M.J. RAJASREE

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
23-09-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

- P1 : TRUE COPY OF THE PROMOTION ORDER DATED 1.6.2010
- P2 : TRUE COPY OF THE REJECTION ORDER OF THE D.E.O. DATED 23.12.2010
- P3 : TRUE COPY OF THE APPEAL FILED BY THE CORPORATE MANAGER DATED 1.2.2011
- P4 : TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER OF THE D.P.I. DATED 29.12.2011
- P5 : TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER OF THE D.P.I. DATED 28.12.2014, ALLOWING THE CLAIM OF SMT.SOLLYMOL JOSEPH.
- P6 : TRUE COPY OF THE STAFF FIXATION ORDER DATED 30.7.2011 ISSUED BY THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER, WAYANAD
- P7 : TRUE COPY OF THE REVISION FILED BY THE PETITIONER DATED 23.1.2015
- P8 : TRUE COPY OF THE REPORT SUBMITTED BY THE D.E.O., WAYANAD DATED 19.2.2015

RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS

NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

JJJ

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.

W.P.(C) No. 28774 of 2015 (V)

Dated this the 23rd day of September, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner's grievance is that Ext.P7 revision dated 23.01.2015 has not been considered till date by the 5th respondent.

2. Considering the confined prayer made, there shall be a direction to the 5th respondent to consider the revision, in accordance with law, after affording an opportunity of hearing, within three months from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this judgment.

The Writ Petition is disposed of without any observation on merits, which shall be decided by the 5th respondent, in accordance with law.

Sd/-
K.VINOD CHANDRAN,
JUDGE