

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU

TUESDAY, THE 8TH DAY OF DECEMBER 2015/17TH AGRAHAYANA, 1937

WP(C).No. 28541 of 2015 (P)

PETITIONERS : -

1. R. THYAGARAJAN,
POTHOTTUKUZHI HOUSE,
ELAVINAKKARA, VELIYAMKODU.P.O.,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.
2. G. VIJAYAKUMAR,
KAVALLAAR, KAIRALI,
KEEZHATTOOR.P.O., THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY ADV. SRI. M. R. SASITH

RESPONDENTS : -

1. INSPECTOR OF CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES,
MALAYINKEEZHU UNIT,
CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETY (GENERAL) OFFICE,
NEYYATTINKARA, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 121.
2. PERUMBAZHATHOOR AGRICULTURAL IMPROVEMENT CO-OPERATIVE
SOCIETY LTD. NO. 1522, MAMPAZHAKARA.P.O.,
PIN - 695 126, PERUMBAZHATHOOR,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI. G. GOPAKUMAR

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON
08-12-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX

PETITIONERS' EXHIBITS : -

EXT.P1 : COPY OF THE LETTER NO.M./2658/2014 DATED 10-5-2015 ISSUED BY THE 1ST RESPONDENT TO THE PETITIONERS.

EXT.P1.(a) : COPY OF THE ENGLISH TRANSLATION OF THE EXHIBIT P1.

EXT.P2 : COPY OF THE OBJECTION DATED NIL. OF THE 1ST PETITIONER FILED BEFORE THE 1ST RESPONDENT.

EXT.P2.(a) : COPY OF THE ENGLISH TRANSLATION OF THE EXHIBIT P2.

EXT.P3 : COPY OF THE OBJECTION DATED 12-6-2015 OF THE 2ND PETITIONER FILED BEFORE THE 1ST RESPONDENT.

EXT.P3.(a) : COPY OF THE ENGLISH TRANSLATION OF THE EXHIBIT P3.

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS : - NIL.

// TRUE COPY //

P.A. TO JUDGE

DMR/-

DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU, J.

W.P.(c) No. 28541 of 2015

Dated this the 08th day of December, 2015

JUDGMENT

The petitioners, the Ex Board members of the second respondent, are facing certain allegations concerning misappropriation of funds. In that context, they are said to have submitted Exhibits P2 and P3 objections before the first respondent, who is yet to consider them. Aggrieved at the delay, the petitioners have approached this Court.

2. In response to the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the learned Government Pleader has submitted that the first respondent is willing to consider petitioners Exhibits P2 and P3 objections, if received and pending, expeditiously.

In the facts and circumstances, having regard to the submission of the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Government Pleader, this Court, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the matter, disposes of the present writ petition with a direction to the first respondent to consider Exhibits P2 and P3 objections of the petitioners in

accordance with law, and pass appropriate orders thereon as expeditiously as possible. No order as to costs.

**DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU
JUDGE**

DMR/-