

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.R.RAMACHANDRA MENON

TUESDAY, THE 3RD DAY OF MARCH 2015/12TH PHALGUNA, 1936

WP(C).No.31138 of 2013 (N)

PETITIONERS:

1. THE SECRETARY,
KOORAMPALA PATTIKAJATHI INDUSTRIAL
CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETY LTD NO.S.IND.PTA 19,
KOORAMPALA SOUTH PANDALAM,
PATHANAMTHITTA DISTRICT - 689 501.
2. SOMARAJAN,S/O.GOPALAN,
PANTHALAPADICKAL VEEDU,PANDALAM,
KOORAMPALA,PATHANAMTHITTA DISTRICT.
3. SASIDHARAN,S/O.RAGHAVAN,
ANNESH BHAVANAM,THATTA,
PRAKKARA MURI,THATTA,PATHANAMTHITTA.

BY ADV.SRI.UNNI.K.K. (EZHUMATTOOR)

RESPONDENTS:

1. STATE OF KERALA,REPRESENTED BY CHIEF SECRETARY,
GOVERNMENT OF KERALA,GOVT. SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 001.
2. DISTRICT COLLECTOR,ALAPUZHA - 688 101.
3. DISTRICT POLICE CHIEF ALAPPUZHA - 688 101.
4. THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
KANAKAKUNNU,KAYAMKULAM - 688 108
5. DIRECTOR OF MINING AND GEOLOGY,
DEPARTMENT OF MINING AND GEOLOGY,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

R1 TO R5 BY GOVT. PLEADER SRI.M.MUHAMMED SHAFI.

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 03-03-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

**EXT.P1:TRUE COPY OF THE REGISTRATION CERTIFICATES OF THE VEHICLE
BEARING REG.NO.KL-03-K-3513.**

**EXT.P2:TRUE COPY OF THE REGISTRATION CERTIFICATES OF THE VEHICLE
BEARING REG.NO.KL-26-A-1830.**

**EXT.P3:TRUE COPY OF THE PERMIT WITH NOTICE ISSUED BY THE GEOLOGIST,
PATHANAMTHITTA.**

**EXT.P4:TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER ISSUED BY THE SECRETARY,
ARATTUPUZHA GRAMA PANCHAYATH.**

**EXT.P5:TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER ISSUED BY THE ASST. ENGINEER, LSGD
DEPARTMENT, ARATTUPUZHA GRAMA PANCHAYATH.**

**EXT.P6:TRUE COPY OF THE ACKNOWLEDGEMENT FORM FOR HANDING OVER
THE SITE TO THE CONTRACTOR.**

**EXT.P7:TRUE COPY OF THE MAHAZAR PREPARED BY THE
KANAKAKUNNU POLICE STATION FOR VEHICLE NO.KL-03-K-3513.**

**EXT.P8:TRUE COPY OF THE MAHAZAR PREPARED BY THE KANAKAKUNNU
POLICE STATION FOR VIHICLE NO.KL-26 A-1830.**

**EXT.P9:TRUE COPY OF THE NOTIFICATION NO.20553/K2/88/ID DATED 22/12/1998
(SRO 139/88 DATED 24/1/1989).**

**EXT.P10:TRUE COPY OF THE NOTIFICATION ISSUED UNDER SRO 827/91
PUBLISHED ON 26/06/1991.**

RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS: **NIL**

//TRUE COPY//

P.S. TO JUDGE

pk

P.R. RAMACHANDRA MENON J.

W.P.(C) No.31138 of 2013

Dated, this the 3rd day of March, 2015

JUDGMENT

The vehicles bearing Nos.**KL-03K-3513** and **KL-26-A-1830** was seized by the **4th respondent** alleging offence under the Mines and Mineral (Development and Regulation) Act and the Kerala Minor Mineral Concession Rules. The main ground of challenge is that, the said respondent is not having the jurisdiction or authority to effect seizure.

2. Heard both the sides.

3. The authority of the respondent to effect seizure has already been considered by this Court and the power and competence has been upheld as per the decision reported in **Aloshias C. Antony Vs. Government of Kerala** [2014(1) KLT 536]. The said decision was rendered, also taking note of the nature of offence which is a 'cognizable' one (notwithstanding anything contained in the Cr.P.C) as stipulated in Section 21(6) of MMDR Act, 1957 and also placing reliance on the judgment

rendered by a Division Bench of this Court in **Construction Materials Movers Association V. State of Kerala** [2008 (4) KLT 909]. In the said circumstance, there is no tenable ground to call for interference.

4. When the matter came up for consideration on **16.12.2013**, the vehicle was caused to be released, **on satisfaction of a sum Rs.25,000/-** and **on execution of a simple bond**. In the said circumstance, the further course of action required is to surrender the vehicle before the concerned respondent, so as to enable the respondent to produce it before the concerned Magistrate having jurisdiction over the area and to proceed with steps for prosecution, unless the offence is sought to be compounded.

5. The petitioner expresses desire to compound the offence by virtue of the enabling provisions under the relevant provisions of law. This Court finds it fit and proper to permit the petitioner to have the offence compounded on satisfying the compounding fee of Rs.25,000/-. The amount ordered to be paid as per the interim order dated **16.12.2013** shall be treated as

compounding fee and offence shall be treated as compounded. Once the offence is compounded, no prosecution proceedings will lie in view of the law declared by this Court in **Digil Vs. Sub Inspector of Police** [2013(1) KLT 600]. It shall be reported to the concerned Magistrate, if the crime has already been reported. If there is any failure in satisfying the compounding fee, the respondent shall pursue further steps in connection with the prosecution before the concerned Magistrate having jurisdiction over the area.

The writ petition is disposed of.

Sd/-

**P. R. RAMACHANDRA MENON,
JUDGE**

rkc