

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.CHITAMBARESH

FRIDAY, THE 18TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2015/27TH BHADRA, 1937

WP(C).No. 28338 of 2015 (N)

PETITIONER(S):

MURALEEDHARAN NAIR, AGED 46 YEARS
S/O.RAMANAN, ALIKKAL HOUSE, KANIPPAYOOR
KUNNAMANGALAM, THRISSUR.

BY ADV. SRI.K.V.GOPINATHAN NAIR

RESPONDENT(S):

1. THE SECRETARY,
REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY, VADAKARA, 673101.
2. THE KERALA STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION
REPRESENTED BY THE MANAGING DIRECTOR
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 695 039.

R2 BY ADV. SRI.P.C.CHACKO, SC,
KERALA STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION.
R BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.K.A.SANJEETHA.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
18-09-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX IN W.P.(C) No.28338 OF 2015

PETITIONER(S) EXHIBITS

- EXHIBIT P1 : TRUE COPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE FIRST RESPONDENT
ISSUING TIMINGS FOR THE PETITIONER'S SERVICE DATED
23.2.2007
- EXHIBIT P2 : TRUE COPY OF THE GOVERNMENT ORDER NO.G.O.(MS)
NO.45/2015/Tran. DATED 20.8.2015.
- EXHIBIT P3 : TRUE COPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE FIRST RESPONDENT
NO.C8/77454/2015 DATED 11.9.2015.

RESPONDENT(S) EXHIBITS

NIL.

//TRUE COPY//

P.S. TO JUDGE.

V.CHITAMBARESH, J.

W.P (C) No.28338 of 2015

Dated this the 18th day of September, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The challenge to the fixation of timings by Ext.P3 order as being in violation of Ext.P2 order can be more appropriately challenged in a revision under Section 90 of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988. I do not find any ground to by-pass the statutory remedy when many factual and legal issues are involved.

The Writ Petition is dismissed without prejudice to the right of the petitioner to invoke the statutory remedy.

Sd/-
V.CHITAMBARESH,
Judge.

nj.