

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

**THE HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR.ASHOK BHUSHAN
&
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN**

THURSDAY, THE 17TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2015/26TH BHADRA, 1937

WP(C).No. 28284 of 2015 (I)

PETITIONERS:

- 1. MRS.ELIAMMA THOMAS AGED 60 YEARS
W/O.THOMAS MATHEW, KALLARAVALICKAL HOUSE, EZHOLI,
NELLICKAMON P.O, ANGADI VILLAGE, RANNI TALUK
PATHANAMTHITTA 689 674.**
- 2. MR.GIGU THOMAS, AGED 29 YEARS,
S/O.THOMAS MATHEW, KALLARAVALICKAL HOUSE, EZHOLI,
NELLICKAMON P.O, ANGADI VILLAGE, RANNI TALUK
PATHANAMTHITTA 689 674.**

BY ADV. SRI.MANU RAMACHANDRAN

RESPONDENTS:

- 1. THE DISTRICT POLICE CHIEF,
PATHANAMTHITTA DISTRICT, PATHANAMTHITTA 689 674.**
- 2. THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE
RANNI POLICE STATION, PATHANAMTHITTA 689 674.**
- 3. VIJAY ABRAHAM, AGED 27 YEARS
S/O.ANI VALIYAKALA, VALIYAKALAYIL HOUSE, CHETTIMUKKU
NELLICKAMON P.O, ANGADI VILLAGE, RANNI TALUK
PATHANAMTHITTA 689 674.**
- 4. JOSEPH C. MATHEW @ KOCHUMON,
S/O. JOHN MATHEW, CHAVARAMPLACKAL, CHETTIMUKKU,
NELLICKAMON P.O., ANGADI VILLAGE, RANNI TALUK,
PATHANAMTHITTA 689 674.**
- 5. ABRAHAM @ ANI VALIYAKALA, AGED 53 YEARS,
S/O. VALIYAKALAYIL HOUSE, CHETTIMUKKU, NELLICKAMON P.O.,
ANGADI VILLAGE, RANNI TALUK, PATHANAMTHITTA 689 674.**

R1 & R2 BY SR.GOVERNMENT PLEADER C.R. SHYAMKUMAR

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
17-09-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS

- P1 : THE 3RD PAGE OF THE DESHABHIMANI DAILY, KOTTAYAM EDITION ON
13.08.2015
- P2 : THE TRUE TRANSLATION OF THE NEWS ITEM IN EXHIBIT P1
- P3 : THE TRUE COPY OF THE COMPLAINT DATED 14.09.2015 EMAILED BY
THE 2ND PETITIONER TO THE 1ST RESPONDENT

RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS

NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

JJJ

ASHOK BHUSHAN, C.J.
&
K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.

W.P.(C) No. 28284 of 2015 (I)

Dated this the 17th day of September, 2015

J U D G M E N T

Ashok Bhushan, C.J.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Government Pleader. No notice need be issued to respondents 3 to 5 in view of the nature of Judgment being passed in the Writ Petition.

2. By this writ petition the petitioners have prayed for adequate and effective police protection to the petitioners and their family members and property from respondents 3 to 5. The 3rd respondent is the brother-in-law and the 5th respondent is the father-in-law of the 2nd petitioner. The 4th respondent is the driver of 3rd and 5th respondents. Petitioners have made allegations against the private respondents that they have attacked them on 9.8.2015, with regard to which complaint was submitted

and a crime has already been registered, being Crime No.116/2015. Petitioners' grievance is that they apprehend further attack from private respondents. Hence, they have come up in the writ petition praying for police protection. The 2nd petitioner further submits that he has sent a complaint, Ext.P3, to the Superintendent of Police with regard to the incident on 9.8.2015 and a crime has already been registered, which is under investigation.

3. We only observe that, in event any offence is attempted or committed as apprehended by the petitioners, it shall be open for the petitioners to file appropriate complaint before the 2nd respondent, who shall look into it and take appropriate measures.

With the above observation, the writ petition is closed.

Sd/-
ASHOK BHUSHAN,
CHIEF JUSTICE.

Sd/-
K.VINOD CHANDRAN,
JUDGE