

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL K.NARENDRA

THURSDAY, THE 17TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2015/26TH BHADRA, 1937

WP(C).No. 28194 of 2015 (Y)

PETITIONER:

**LASITHAKUMARI M.V,
HIGH SCHOOL ASSISTANT(SANSKRIT),
SIVAPURAM HSS, SIVAPURAM.P.O.,
KANNUR DISTRICT-670 702.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.POOVAMULLE PARAMBIL ABDULKAREEM
SRI.K.N.KUMARASWAMY SARMA**

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY
THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
GENERAL EDUCATION DEPARTMENT,
GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 001.**
- 2. THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTIONS,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 005.**
- 3. THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION,
OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION,
KANNUR - 670 001.**
- 4. THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER,
THALASSERY, KANNUR DISTRICT- 670 001.**
- 5. THE MANAGER, SIVAPURAM HSS, PO.O.SIVAPURAM,
KANNUR DISTRICT - 670 702.**

R1 TO R4 BY SENIOR GOVT. PLEADER SMT.M.J.RAJASREE

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 17-09-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

mbr/

WP(C).No. 28194 of 2015 (Y)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS:

- P1- TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER DATED 22.6.2015 ISSUED BY THE
3RD RESPONDENT.
- P2- TRUE COPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS DATED 15.7.2014 ISSUED BY THE
4TH RESPONDENT.
- P3- TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER NO.RA3/4390/2015/DPI DATED 6.8.2015.
- P4- TRUE COPY OF THE REVISION PETITION DATED 31.8.2015.

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS: **NIL.**

//TRUE COPY//

P.S. TO JUDGE

mbr/

ANIL K.NARENDRA, J.

W.P.(C)No.28194 of 2015

Dated this the 17th day of September, 2015

JUDGMENT

The petitioner, who is working as High School Assistant (Sanskrit) from 2.6.2014 onwards in the Sivapuram Higher Secondary School, has filed this Writ Petition seeking a writ of mandamus commanding the 1st respondent to consider and pass appropriate orders on Ext.P4 revision petition as expeditiously as possible, within a time limit prescribed by this Court. He has also sought for a writ of certiorari to quash Exts.P1 and P3, against which he has already invoked statutory remedy by way of revision before the Government.

2. I heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader appearing for respondents 1 to 5.

3. Considering the fact that challenging Exts.P1 and P3 orders the petitioner has already filed Ext.P4 Revision before the 1st respondent, which is pending consideration, I deem it appropriate to dispose of this Writ Petition without going into the merits of the contentions raised by the petitioner.

4. In the result, this Writ Petition is disposed of directing the 1st respondent to consider and pass appropriate orders on Ext.P4 revision petition as expeditiously as possible, at any rate, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this judgment, with notice to the petitioner and also to the 5th respondent Manager.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed anything on the merits of the claim made by the petitioner. It is for the 1st respondent to take appropriate decision on Ext.P4 revision petition strictly in accordance with law.

Sd/-

ANIL K.NARENDHAN, JUDGE

skj