

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM**

**PRESENT:**

**THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL K.NARENDRAN**

**FRIDAY, THE 18TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2015/27TH BHADRA, 1937**

**WP(C).No. 28121 of 2015 (M)**  
-----

**PETITIONER(S):**  
-----

**GOPINATH M.N. AGED 50 YEARS  
MANAGER, JUNIOR BASIC SCHOOL  
KADAMPAZHIPURAM PO, PALAGHAT**

**BY ADVS.SRI.JIJI THOMAS  
SMT.SMITHA MATHEW  
SRI.JOHNY ANDREWS**

**RESPONDENT(S):**  
-----

- 1. STATE OF KERALA REPRESENTED BY  
ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY,  
DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, SECRETARIAT  
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 001**
- 2. THE ASSISTANT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER,  
CHERPLASSERY, PALAGHAT**
- 3. T.P.CHANDRAPRAKASH,  
HEADMASTER (UNDER SUSPENSION)  
JUNIOR BASIC SCHOOL, KADAMAZHIPURAM  
PALAGHAT**

**R1 - R2 BY SMT. LOWSY A., GOVERNMENT PLEADER**

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 18-09-2015,  
THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

**APPENDIX**

**PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:**

- P1: COPY OF THE SUSPENSION ORDER ISSUED BY THE PETITIONER DATED  
13.07.2015
- P2: COPY OF THE REINSTATEMENT ORDER ISSUED BY THE ASSISTANT  
EDUCATIONAL OFFICER DATED 24.07.2015
- P3: COPY OF THE REVISION PETITION ALONGWITH THE STAY PETITION FILED BY  
THE PETITIONER DATED 28.07.2015 AGAINST THE ORDER OF THE AEO

**RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS:** N I L

//TRUE COPY//

P.A.TO JUDGE

JV

**ANIL K. NARENDRAN, J.**

-----  
**W.P.(C) No.28121 of 2015**  
-----

**Dated this the 18<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2015**

**JUDGMENT**

The petitioner, who is the manager of Junior Basic School, Kadampazhipuram in Palakkad District has filed this writ petition seeking a writ of mandamus commanding the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent to consider Ext.P3 revision and take a decision thereon, without any further delay.

2. Heard arguments of the learned counsel for the petitioner and also the learned Government Pleader appearing for the respondents.

3. Alleging misconduct, the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent who was working as Head Master of the school was placed under suspension by Ext.P1 order dated 13.07.2015 and the petitioner sought permission to continue the order of suspension beyond 15 days, which was turned down by Ext.P2 order dated 24.07.2015 of the Assistant Educational Officer, Cherplassery. Against the said order passed by the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent, the petitioner filed a statutory revision before the Government under Rule 92 of

Chapter XIV A of KER. Now Ext.P3 revision is pending consideration before the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent.

4. Considering the fact that Ext.P3 revision is pending consideration before the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent, I deem it just and proper to dispose of this writ petition, without going into the merits of the contentions raised by the petitioner.

In the result, this writ petition is disposed of directing the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent to consider and pass appropriate orders on Ext.P3 revision as expeditiously as possible, at any rate, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this judgement, with notice to the petitioner and also to the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent.

SD/-  
**ANIL K. NARENDRA,**  
**JUDGE**

JV