

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

WEDNESDAY, THE 16TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2015/25TH BHADRA, 1937

WP(C).No. 28087 of 2015 (I)

PETITIONER(S):

1. **CHANDRIKA V.V.,
SELECTION GRADE TYPIST,
REGIONAL OFFICE OF THE VOCATIONAL HIGHER SECONDARY
EDUCATION, THRISSUR – 680 003**
2. **DINESH KUMAR S
UPPER DIVISION TYPIST
REGIONAL OFFICE OF THE VOCATIONAL HIGHER SECONDARY
EDUCATION, ERNAKULAM – 682 024**

**BY ADVS.SRI.V.A.MUHAMMED
SRI.M.SAJJAD**

RESPONDENT(S):

1. **THE STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY TO
GOVERNMENT, GENERAL EDUCATION DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM – 695 001**
2. **THE DIRECTOR OF VOCATIONAL HIGHER SECONDARY EDUCATION
HOUSING BOARD BUILDINGS, SANTHI NAGAR
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM**

R BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT. A. LOWSY

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
16-09-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

WP(C).No. 28087 of 2015 (I)

APPENDIX

PETITIONERS' EXHIBITS :

- EXT. P1: TRUE COPY OF THE G.O. (MS.) NO. 405/96/FIN. DATED 20.05.1996 OF THE GOVERNMENT.**
- EXT. P2: TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER IN T.A. NO. 3570/2012 DATED 4.11.2014 OF THE KAT.**
- EXT. P3: TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER NO. E8/13388/14 OF THE 2ND RESPONDENT DATED 23.01.2015.**
- EXT. P4: TRUE COPY OF THE APPEAL FILED BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT DATED 10.6.2015 ALONG WITH COVERING LETTER DATED 20.06.2005 (1ST PETITIONER).**
- EXT. P5: TRUE COPY OF THE APPEAL FILED BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT DATED 10.6.10.2015 ALONG WITH COVERING LETTER DATED 15.06.2005 (2ND PETITIONER).**

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS : NIL.

/TRUE COPY/

PA. TO JUDGE

SB

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.

=====

W.P.(C) No.28087 of 2015 - I

=====

Dated this the 16th day of September, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioners are aggrieved with the non-consideration of Exts.P4 and P5 appeals filed before the 1st respondent. The appeals are filed on 10.06.2015.

2. In such circumstance, the 1st respondent definitely will have to look at the appeals together filed before it and consider the same in accordance with law, after affording an opportunity of hearing, at any rate within a period of four months from the date of hearing. It is made clear that this Court has not made any observations on merits, which the 1st respondent will consider, in accordance with law.

**Sd/-
K. VINOD CHANDRAN,
JUDGE**

SB

// true copy //

P.A to Judge.