

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM**

**PRESENT:**

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.CHITAMBARESH**

**WEDNESDAY, THE 16TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2015/25TH BHADRA, 1937**

**WP(C).No. 27941 of 2015 (P)**  
-----

**PETITIONER:**  
-----

**SUMA CHANDRAN,  
AGED 43 YEARS, W/O. CHANDRAN  
RESIDING AT NEDUMPURATHUMALI HOUSE  
PERUMPANACHI P.O.,  
CHANGANASSERY, KOTTAYAM  
PIN - 686 536**

**BY ADV. SMT.DIVYA C BALAN**

**RESPONDENTS:**  
-----

- 1. TRAFFIC COMMITTEE  
MADAPALLY GRAMMA PANCHAYATH  
KOTTAYAM - 686 546**
- 2. THE DISTRICT POLICE CHIEF,  
KOTTAYAM DIST. - 686 001**
- 3. THE DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE  
KOTTAYAM DIST. - 686 001**
- 4. THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE  
THRIKODITHANAM, KOTTAYAM DIST. - 686 105**
- 5. THE SECRETARY,  
MADAPALLY GRAMMA PANCHAYATH  
686 546**
- 6. THE REGIONAL TRANSPORT OFFICER,  
KOTTAYAM DISTRICT - 686 001**

**R1 & R5 BY ADV.SRI.LAL GEORGE, SC, MADAPPALLY GRAMA PANCHAYATH  
R6 BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI. SANJEETHA K.A.**

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON  
16-09-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

**WP(C).No. 27941 of 2015 (P)**

**APPENDIX**

**PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS**  
-----

**EXHIBIT P1. TRUE COPY OF THE LICENSE DATED 16.01.2015 ISSUED BY THE 5TH RESPONDENT**

**EXHIBIT P2. TRUE COPY OF THE DECISION DATED 07.07.2015 TAKEN IN THE MEETING CONDUCTED BY THE 1ST RESPONDENT**

**EXHIBIT P3. TRUE COPY OF THE PHOTOGRAPH OF THE SITE PROPOSED FOR THE AUTORIKSHAW STAND AS PER EXT. P2 DECISION**

**EXHIBIT P4. TRUE COPY OF THE PHOTOGRAPH OF THE UNAUTHORISED PARKING OF THE AUTORIKSHAWS**

**EXHIBIT P5. TRUE COPY OF THE NEWS APPEARED IN MALAYALA MANORAMA DATED 06.08.2015**

**EXHIBIT P6. TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DATED 21.08.2015 BEFORE THE 2ND RESPONDENT, THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE KOTTAYAM**

**RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS:- NIL**  
-----

**/TRUE COPY/**

**P.A. TO JUDGE**

**DCS**

**V. CHITAMBARESH, J**

-----  
**W.P.(C). NO. 27941 OF 2015**  
-----

Dated this the 16<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2015

**JUDGMENT**

The petitioner asserts that autorikshaws are being parked not in the place earmarked for the purpose. The petitioner has in this regard submitted Ext. P6 representation. The petitioner has pointed out therein Ext. P2 decision taken by the first respondent.

2. I direct the second respondent to consider Ext. P6 representation with notice to the petitioner and respondents 5 and 6. The needful shall be done within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

The writ petition is disposed of.

**V. CHITAMBARESH  
JUDGE**

DCS