

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

TUESDAY, THE 15TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2015/24TH BHADRA, 1937

WP(C).No. 27887 of 2015 (I)

PETITIONER:

**MALATHEE DEVI.M., AGED 43 YEARS
W/O. SATHYAN, KOCHUVEEDU, MELETHUMUZH
KARIMKUTTIKARA P.O., VAMANAPURAM
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, [H.S.A. (MALAYALAM)
D.B.H.S. THRIKKARIYOOR, KOTHAMANGALAM]**

BY ADV. SRI.ALEXANDER JOSEPH

RESPONDENTS:

- 1. STATE OF KERALA REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY
DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, STATE SECRETARIAT
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 001.**
- 2. DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION
CIVIL STATION, KAKKANAD
ERNAKULAM-682 030.**
- 3. THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER
KOTHAMANGALAM-686 691.**
- 4. SECRETARY, TRAVANCORE DEVASWOM BOARD
NANTHANCODE, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 001.**
- 5. HEADMASTER, DEVASWOM BOARD HIGH SCHOOL
THRIKKARIYOOR, KOTHAMANGALAM-686 691.**

**BY SRI.M.V.S.NAMBOOTHIRI, SC, TRAVANCORE DEVASWOM BOARD
GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT. A LOWSY**

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
15-09-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:-

- EXHIBIT P1 - COPY OF THE APPOINTMENT ORDER DATED 13.08.2014 OF THE 4TH RESPONDENT.
- EXHIBIT P2 - COPY OF THE ORDER DATED 18.05.2015 OF THE 3RD RESPONDENT.
- EXHIBIT P3 - COPY OF THE APPEAL DATED 20.06.2015 OF THE 4TH RESPONDENT BEFORE THE 2ND RESPONDENT.
- EXHIBIT P4 - COPY OF THE APPEAL DATED 18.06.2015 OF THE PETITIONER.

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS:- NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

sp

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.

W.P(C). No.27887 of 2015

Dated this the 15th day of September, 2015.

JUDGMENT

The 4th respondent was appointed as an HSA (Malayalam) in the 5th respondent School as evidenced from Ext.P1, the approval of which was rejected by the 3rd respondent, by Ext.P2 order. Aggrieved by Ext.P2 order, the petitioner and the 4th respondent filed Exts.P3&P4 appeals before the 2nd respondent, which has not been considered so far. The prayer is to cause the same to be considered and disposed of within a reasonable time.

In such circumstances, there will be a direction to the 2nd respondent to consider and dispose of Exts.P3&P4 appeals, together, as expeditiously as possible and after giving an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and the 4th respondent, at any rate, within three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this judgment. It is made clear that, this Court

has not made any observation on merits, which the 2nd respondent will consider in accordance with law.

The writ petition is disposed of.

Sd/-

**K. VINOD CHANDRAN,
JUDGE**

sp