

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR.ASHOK BHUSHAN
&

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.SHAFFIQUE

WEDNESDAY, THE 23RD DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2015/1ST ASWINA, 1937

WP(C) .No. 27799 of 2015 (Y)

PETITIONER(S) :

1. KEERTHANA V.S., AGED 21 YEARS
W/O PRASANTH, MALAVEETIL HOUSE, PUTHUVAIPPU
AZHEEKAL PO, KOCHI-682 508
2. PRASANTH M.V., AGED 34,
S/O VINAYAKAN, MALAVEETIL HOUSE, PUTHUVAIPPU
AZHEEKAL PO, KOCHI-682 508

BY ADV. SRI.A.S.SASIDHARAN

RESPONDENT(S) :

1. KARTHIK V.S., AGED 27,
S/O SURENDRAN, VALIYAVEETIL HOUSE, PUTHUVAIPPU
AZHEEKAL PO, KOCHI-682 508.
2. SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE
NARAKKAL POLICE STATION, COCHIN-682 508.
3. CIRCLE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
NARAKKAL POLICE STATION , COCHIN-682 508.

BY Sr.GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.P.I.DAVIS

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
23-09-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

ami/

**ASHOK BHUSHAN, C.J. &
A.M.SHAFFIQUE, J.**

W.P.(C)No.27799 of 2015

Dated this the 23rd day of September, 2015.

J U D G M E N T

SHAFFIQUE, J.

The petitioners have approached this Court alleging harassment by the police, stating that at the instance of the 1st respondent, the 2nd respondent is unnecessarily summoning the 1st petitioner to the Police station. It is stated that the 1st petitioner and the 1st respondent are sister and brother, and they have sold their property having an extent of 3 cents of land and the proceeds were equally shared. However, dissatisfied with the transaction, it seems that the 1st respondent had preferred a frivolous complaint on the allegation that the petitioner has cheated the 1st respondent.

2. The learned Senior Government Pleader on instruction submits that on the basis of the complaint preferred by the 1st respondent before the jurisdictional

Magistrate, the matter was referred under section 156(3) of Cr.P.C. The Police had registered a crime on that basis as Crime No.888/15 of Njarakkal Police Station and for investigating the said crime, the petitioner had been summoned to the Police Station.

3. The aforesaid facts do not disclose any harassment, as the petitioner had been summoned to the Police Station for investigation purpose. The petitioner will have to co-operate with the investigation. The offences alleged are under section 379 r/w 34 of IPC.

Under such circumstances, no direction as sought for can be granted. Accordingly, this writ petition is dismissed.

Sd/-
ASHOK BHUSHAN,
CHIEF JUSTICE.

Sd/-
A.M.SHAFFIQUE,
JUDGE.

ami/

//True copy//

P.A.to Judge