

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

TUESDAY, THE 15TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2015/24TH BHADRA, 1937

WP(C).No. 27779 of 2015 (V)

PETITIONER:

**K.P.MUHAMMED SHAFEEQ, AGED 50 YEARS
S/O. ABDUL KHADIR, SECRETARY
PUZHATHI GRAMA PANCHAYAT (UNDER SUSPENSION)
P.O. KOTTAI, KANNUR DISTRICT - 670 005
RESIDING AT "HIBA" NEAR SB COLONY ROAD,
CHETTANKUNNU, THALASSERY P.O.
KANNUR DISTRICT - 670 101.**

BY ADV. SRI.P.M.PAREETH

RESPONDENTS:

- 1. STATE OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
LOCAL SELF GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENT
GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
THIRUVANABNTHAPURAM-695 001.**
- 2. DIRECTOR OF PANCHAYAT
THIRUVANABNTHAPURAM-695 001.**

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT.A LOWSY

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
15-09-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

WP(C).No. 27779 of 2015 (V)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:-

EXHIBIT P1 - COPY OF THE ORDER NO.D9-15834/15 DATED 10.08.2015
ISSUED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT.

EXHIBIT P2 - COPY OF THE APPEAL DATED 17.08.2015 SUBMITTED BY THE
PETITIONER BEFORE THE 1ST RESPONDENT.

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS:- NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

sp

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.

W.P(C). No.27779 of 2015

Dated this the 15th day of September, 2015.

JUDGMENT

The petitioner is aggrieved with the fact that, by Ext.P1 order dated 10.08.2015 issued by the 2nd respondent, he was suspended from service. The petitioner submits that, the suspension order is unsustainable. Therefore, he submitted Ext.P2 appeal before the 1st respondent, which is stated to be pending consideration. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that, the petitioner will be satisfied, if a direction is given to the 1st respondent to consider and dispose of Ext.P2 appeal within a reasonable period.

In such circumstances, there will be a direction to the first respondent to consider and dispose of Ext.P2 appeal as expeditiously as possible and after giving an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, at any rate, within one month from the

date of receipt of a certified copy of this judgment. It is made clear that, this Court has not made any observation on merits, which the first respondent will consider in accordance with law.

The writ petition is disposed of.

Sd/-

**K. VINOD CHANDRAN,
JUDGE**

sp