

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU

MONDAY, THE 14TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2015/23RD BHADRA, 1937

WP(C).No. 27708 of 2015 (K)

PETITIONER:

C.K.JACOB, AGED 56 YEARS
SON OF KUNJAPPY TECHNICAL SUPERINTENDENT
ERNAKULAM DAIRY, TRIPUNITHURA, ERNAKULAM DISTRICT

BY ADVS.SRI.V.A.MUHAMMED
SRI.M.SAJJAD

RESPONDENTS:

1. THE KERALA CO-OPERATIVE MILK MARKETING FEDERATION
PATTOM, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 695 004.
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY.
2. THE MANAGING DIRECTOR
ERNAKULAM REGIONAL CO-OPERATIVE MILK PRODUCERS UNION LTD
P.B.NO.2212, EDAPPALLY, KOCHI 682 024.

R BY SMT.LATHA ANAND

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
14-09-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WP(C) .No. 27708 of 2015 (K)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S) ' EXHIBITS

P1- TRUE COPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE MANAGING DIRECTOR DATED
2.2.2013

P2- TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION SUBMITTED BEFORE THE MANAGING
DIRECTOR DATED 10.2.2014

P2 (A) - TRUE COPY OF THE ENGLISH TRANSLATION OF EXHIBIT P2

RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS: NIL

TRUE COPY

PA TO JUDGE

Scl.

DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU, J.

W.P.(C) No. 27708 of 2015

Dated this the 14th day of September, 2015.

JUDGMENT

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader in the matter, apart from perusing the record. Since the issue lies in a narrow compass, this Court proposes to dispose of the writ petition at the admission stage itself.

2. The petitioner, a technician under the second respondent Diary, was recently promoted on 7.3.2013 to the post of Technical Assistant, in which position, the petitioner is presently undergoing probation. The petitioner's date of retirement is 31.05.2017.

3. The grievance of the petitioner is that though he was due to have the benefit of higher grade by 30th September 2015 in the position of Technician, owing to his promotion to the post of Technical Assistant, he lost the benefit. Accordingly ventilating his grievance, the petitioner has submitted Ext.P2 representation before the second respondent.

Seeking its expeditious disposal, the petitioner has filed the present writ petition.

4. In response to the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the learned standing counsel for the respondent has submitted that the second respondent has no objection to consider the petitioner's Ext.P2 representation on merits.

In the facts and circumstances, having regard to the respective submissions of the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned standing counsel for the respondents, this Court, without adverting to the merits of the matter, disposes of the writ petition with a direction to the second respondent to consider the petitioner's Ext.P2 representation on merits and pass appropriate orders thereon, as expeditiously as possible, at any rate, within two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment. No order of costs.

**Sd/-
DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU
JUDGE**

Scl.