

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE

MONDAY, THE 14TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2015/23RD BHADRA, 1937

WP(C).No. 27667 of 2015 (G)

PETITIONER :

**S.REGHU KUMAR, AGED 56 YEARS,
S/O. SREEDHARAN PILLAI, ADVOCATE AND NOTARY,
KOLLAM REVENUE DISTRICT, NAVAMI, VENGA P.O.,
SASTHAMCOTTAH, KOLLAM DISTRICT.**

BY ADV. SRI.B.MOHANLAL

RESPONDENT :

**UNION OF INDIA,
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY,
MINISTRY OF LAW AND JUSTICE,
DEPARTMENT OF LEGAL AFFAIRS, NOTARY CELL,
SHASTHRI BHAVAN, NEW DELHI - 110 001,
(COMPETENT AUTHORITY AS PER NOTARIES ACT AND RULES)**

**BY SRI.N.NAGARESH, ASSISTANT SOLICITOR GENERAL
ADV. SMT.C.G.PREETHA, CGC**

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 14-09-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

sts

WP(C).No. 27667 of 2015 (G)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

- EXHIBIT-P1- TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER VIDE REGISTRATION NO. 8263 AS PER FILE NO. 5 (1251)/09-NC DATED 15/09/2010 ISSUED BY THE RESPONDENT TO THE PETITIONER
- EXHIBIT-P2- TRUE COPY OF THE RECEIPT AND APPLICATION DATED 11/03/2015 SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE RESPONDENT.
- EXHIBIT-P3- TRUE COPY OF THE COMMUNICATION F. NO. (1251)/09-NC DATED 15/07/2015 ISSUED BY THE RESPONDENT TO THE PETITIONER.
- EXHIBIT-P4- TRUE COPY OF THE RELEVANT PAGES OF THE AMENDED NOTARIES RULES, 2014 PUBLISHED IN GAZETTE OF INDIA (EXTRA ORDINARY) PART II, SECTION 3 (I) DATED 04/03/2014.
- EXHIBIT-P5- TRUE COPY OF THE AFFIDAVIT SWORN BY THE PETITIONER DATED 05/08/2015 ATTESTED BY THE JUDICIAL FIRST CLASS MAGISTRATE COURT, SASTHAMCOTTAH SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER TO THE RESPONDENT.
- EXHIBIT-P6- TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION DATED 05/08/2015 SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE RESPONDENT AND ITS POSTAL RECEIPT.

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS:

NIL

/TRUE COPY/

P.A.TO JUDGE

sts

A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE, J.

W.P.(C) No.27667 of 2015

Dated this the 14th day of September, 2015

JUDGMENT

The petitioner applied for renewal of Certificate of Practice as Notary. This was responded by Ext.P3 directing the petitioner to explain reasons for the delay.

In view of the above, this Court is of the view that the petitioner shall give a reply to Ext.P3. If the petitioner satisfies the directions in Ext.P3, the application for renewal shall be considered in accordance with law.

The writ petition is disposed of as above.

Sd/-

A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE, JUDGE

In