

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

FRIDAY, THE 6TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 2015/17TH MAGHA, 1936

W.P.(C).No.27515 of 2014 (L)

PETITIONER(S):-

1. LUKHMANUL HAKKIM N, S/O.ABDUL NASAR,
KOCHALUMMOOTIL PADEETTATHIL HOUSE
KAYAMKULAM, PERINGALA, ALAPPUZHA-690 559.
2. MANAF, AGED 18 YEARS, S/O.ABDUL SALAM,
KOPPARAPADEETTATHIL HOUSE, KAYAMKULAM,
PERINGALA, ALAPPUZHA-690 559.
3. SUNEER B, AGED 18 YEARS,
THEKKEDATUTHARAYIL, DESATHINAKAM, KAYAMKULAM,
PERINGALA, ALAPPUZHA-690 559.
4. SHANU SALIHAN, AGED 18 YEARS, S/O.SALIHAN,
SHAFI MANZIL, NEELIKKULAM,
VAVVAKKAVU.P.O., KARUNAGAPPILLY-690 528.

BY ADVS.SRI.SAJAL.P.E.
SRI.K.R.ARUN KRISHNAN.

RESPONDENT(S):-

1. THE STATE OF KERALA, REP. BY THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT.,
DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, GOVT. SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.
2. THE VICE CHANCELLOR,
UNIVERSITY OF KERALA, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.
3. THE PRINCIPAL, M S M COLLEGE, KAYAMKULAM.
4. JAYAKUMAR
PROFESSOR, DEPT. OF PHYSICS, M S M COLLEGE, KAYAMKULAM.

R1 BY SENIOR GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.BIJU MEENATTOOR
R2 BY STANDING COUNSEL SRI.BECHU KURIAN THOMAS.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON
06-02-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS:-

- EXT.P1- A TRUE COPY OF THE ONLINE APPLICATION SUBMITTED BY THE FIRST PETITIONER FOR VARIOUS COURSES OFFERED BY THE THIRD RESPONDENT INSTITUTION DATED 30.05.2014.
- EXT.P2- A TRUE COPY OF THE ONLINE APPLICATION SUBMITTED BY THE SECOND PETITIONER FOR VARIOUS COURSES OFFERED BY THE THIRD RESPONDENT INSTITUTION DATED 28.05.2014.
- EXT.P3- A TRUE COPY OF THE COMPUTER GENERATED RECEIPT IN THE NAME OF THE FOURTH PETITIONER DATED NIL.
- EXT.P4- A TRUE COPY OF THE RELEVANT PAGE OF THE RULE OF THE UNIVERSITY.
- EXT.P5- A TRUE COPY OF PUBLICATION ISSUED BY THE SECOND RESPONDENT UNIVERSITY DATED 11.08.2014.
- EXT.P6- A TRUE COPY OF PUBLICATION ISSUED BY THE SECOND RESPONDENT UNIVERSITY DATED 13.08.2014.
- EXT.P7- A TRUE COPY OF PUBLICATION ISSUED BY THE SECOND RESPONDENT UNIVERSITY DATED 23.08.2014.
- EXT.P8- A TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION SUBMITTED BY THE THIRD PETITIONER TO THE PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICER OF THE THIRD RESPONDENT INSTITUTION DATED 28.08.2014.
- EXT.P9- A TRUE COPY OF THE REPLY GIVEN TO EXT.P8 BY THE PUBLIC RELATIONS OFFICER OF THE THIRD RESPONDENT INSTITUTION DATED 23.09.2014.
- EXT.P10- A TRUE COPY OF THE COMPLAINT FILED BY THE FIRST PETITIONER TO THE SECOND RESPONDENT DATED 29.8.2014.
- EXT.P11- A TRUE COPY OF THE FURTHER REQUEST PREFERRED BY THE FIRST PETITIONER TO THE SECOND RESPONDENT DATED 1.10.2014.
- EXT.P12- A TRUE COPY OF THE COMPLAINT SUBMITTED BY THE THIRD PETITIONER DATED 30.10.2014.

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS:-

- EXT.R2(a) TRUE COPY OF THE COVERING LETTER DATED 30.08.2014
ISSUED BY THE UNIVERSITY TO THE 3RD RESPONDENT.
- EXT.R2(b) TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER DATED 04.09.2014 ISSUED BY
THE UNIVERSITY TO THE 3RD RESPONDENT.
- EXT.R2(c) TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER DATED 12.09.2014 ISSUED BY THE
3RD RESPONDENT TO THE UNIVERSITY.
- EXT.R2(d) TRUE COPY OF THE NOTICE OF HEARING DATED 16.10.2014
ISSUED BY THE UNIVERSITY TO THE 1ST PETITIONER.
- EXT.R2(e) TRUE COPY OF THE NOTICE OF HEARING DATED 16.10.2014
ISSUED BY THE UNIVERSITY TO THE 2ND PETITIONER.
- EXT.R2(f) TRUE COPY OF THE NOTICE OF HEARING DATED 16.10.2014
ISSUED BY THE UNIVERSITY TO THE 3RD RESPONDENT.
- EXT.R2(g) TRUE COPY OF THE MINUTES OF THE HEARING CONDUCTED
ON 23.10.2014 BY THE UNIVERSITY.
- EXT.R2(h) TRUE COPY OF THE REPORT SUBMITTED BY THE
PROGRAMMER, COMPUTER CENTRE.

VKU/-

(TRUE COPY)

K. Vinod Chandran, J

W.P.(C).No.27515 of 2014-L

Dated this the 06th day of February, 2015

JUDGMENT

In view of the specific contention raised by the University that an enquiry is ordered into the allegations made in the writ petition and that the same is kept in abeyance only for reason of the pendency of the writ petition, this Court does not find any reason to keep the writ petition pending. The University shall conclude the enquiry. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion about the allegations made in the writ petition.

The writ petition would stand closed.

Sd/-
K.Vinod Chandran
Judge.

vkul/-

(true copy)