

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU

MONDAY, THE 27TH DAY OF JULY 2015/5TH SRAVANA, 1937

WP(C).No. 27358 of 2014 (T)  
-----

PETITIONER:  
-----

V.SIVASANKARAN NAIR,  
USHUS, MAVINMOODU, KALLAMBALAM,  
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY ADVS.SRI.B.S.SWATHY KUMAR  
SRI.REMYA MURALI  
SRI.A.K.RAJESH  
SRI.VENKATESH GOPI

RESPONDENTS:-  
-----

1. THE JOINT REGISTRAR OF CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES  
GENERAL, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695 001.
2. THE OTTOOR SERVICE CO-OPERATIVE BANK LTD.NO.976,  
CHENNANCODU, VADASSERIKONAM P.O., VARKALA,  
PIN - 695 543, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM  
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY.
3. THE MANAGING COMMITTEE OF THE OTTOOR  
SERVICE CO-OPERATIVE BANK LTD.NO.976,  
CHENNANCODU, VADASSERIKONAM P.O., VARKALA,  
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 543  
REPRESENTED BY ITS PRESIDENT.

**ADDL. R4 IMPEADED:**

4. THE REGISTRAR OF CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES,  
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 001.  
(ADDL.R4 IS IMPEADED AS PER ORDER DATED 27.07.2015 IN  
I.A. NO. 10578/2015)

R3 BY ADV. SRI.P.N.MOHANAN  
R BY SRI. G. GOPAKUMAR, GOVERNMENT PLEADER

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON  
27-07-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE  
FOLLOWING:

WP(C).No. 27358 of 2014 (T)  
-----

: 2 :

**APPENDIX**

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS :  
-----

EXHIBIT P1. TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER IN W.P.(C)NO.13371/2014 DATED  
27.05.2014.

EXHIBIT P2. TRUE COPY OF THE PETITION FILED BY THE PETITIONERS AND  
OTHERS BEFORE THE 1ST RESPONDENT DATED 17.06.2014.

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS : NIL  
-----

/True Copy/

P.A to Judge.

DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU, J.

-----  
W.P. (C) No. 27358 of 2014  
-----

Dated this the 27<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2015.

### JUDGMENT

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, the learned Government Pleader and the learned counsel for the respondent Bank, apart from perusing the record.

2. The petitioner, who is the member of the second respondent Bank, has a grievance against the third respondent. Complaining of the administrative shortcomings and the alleged mismanagement by the third respondent, the petitioner has submitted Ext.P2 representation before the additional 4<sup>th</sup> respondent. Seeking expeditious consideration of Ext.P2 representation by the additional 4<sup>th</sup> respondent, the petitioner has filed the present writ petition.

In the facts and circumstances, having regard to the respective submissions of the learned Counsel for the petitioner and the learned counsel for the respondent Bank, this Court, without expressing any opinion on the merits of

the matter, disposes of the writ petition with a direction to the additional 4<sup>th</sup> respondent to consider the petitioner's Ext.P2 representation in accordance with law and pass appropriate orders thereon, as expeditiously as possible, at any rate, within two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

sd/- DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU, JUDGE.

