

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.CHITAMBARESH

MONDAY, THE 14TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2015/23RD BHADRA, 1937

WP(C).No. 27074 of 2015 (H)

PETITIONER:

**PRADEEPKUMAR M.
S/O CHANDUKUTTY,
MUDAMPARAMBATH HOUSE
PO FEROKE COLLEGE,
CHULLIPARAMBA,
KOZHIKODE**

**BY ADVS.SRI.G.HARIHARAN
SRI.PRAVEEN.H.**

RESPONDENT:

**THE SECRETARY,
REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY
KOZHIKODE-673 001**

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT. SANJEETHA K.A.

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 14-09-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

DCS

WP(C).No. 27074 of 2015 (H)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

- EXT.P1: TRUE COPY OF THE PERMIT ISSUED BY THE RESPONDENT
 RELATED TO VEHICLE IN QUESTION**
- EXT.P2: TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION DT. 17/7/15 MADE BEFORE THE
 RESPONDENT IN FORM NO. PVA UNDER RULE 174 AND 179 OF THE
 KMV RULES**
- EXT.P3: TRUE COPY OF THE CLEARANCE CERTIFICATE DT. 18/12/14
 ISSUED BY THE RESPONDENT**
- EXT.P4: TRUE COPY OF THE HIRE AGREEMENT DT. 17/7/15**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS:-NIL

/TRUE COPY/

P.A. TO JUDGE

DCS

V. CHITAMBARESH, J

W.P.(C). NO. 27074 OF 2015

Dated this the 14th day of September, 2015

JUDGMENT

The petitioner has not evidently produced the current records within the time stipulated in the judgment dated 25.11.2014 in W.P.(C). No. 31436/2014. The remedy of the petitioner is to move for enlargement of time in the said writ petition to avert steps for revocation of permit.

2. There cannot be a direction to consider the application for replacement of the vehicle in this writ petition when the directions in the judgment in W.P.(C). No. 31436/2014 are not complied with.

The present writ petition is disposed of without prejudice to the right of the petitioner as indicated above.

**V. CHITAMBARESH
JUDGE**

DCS