

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.CHITAMBARESH

MONDAY, THE 7TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2015/16TH BHADRA, 1937

WP(C).No. 26886 of 2015 (I)

PETITIONER:

**KERALA STATE PRIVATE BUS OPERATORS
MANJESWAR TALUK COMMITTEE
HOSANGADI, MANJESWAR
HOSABETTU P.O., REPRESENTED BY ITS GENERAL SECRETARY
THIMMAPPA BHATT, S/O. THIMMAYA BHATT
AGED 62 YEARS, ARANTHADY HOUSE,
ORKADY P.O., KASARAGOD**

BY ADV. SRI.P.V.BABY

RESPONDENTS:

- 1. REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY
KASARAGOD,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY - 671 121**
- 2. SECRETARY, REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY
KASARAGOD - 671 121**
- 3. MANJUNATHA SHETTY
S/O. AMMU SHETTY
MOODAMBALI HOUSE,
P.O. MOODAMBALI
KASARAGOD DISTRICT - 671 323**
- 4. SRI. HYDRALI M.
S/O. ABDULLA
MOODIGUDDE HOUSE
P.O., KARUDAPADAVU,
KASARAGOD - 671323**

R1 & R2 BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT. SANJEETHA

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
07-09-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

- P1- TRUE COPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS DATED 31.3.2015 IN ITEM NO. 2 OF THE 1ST RESPONDENT**
- P2- TRUE COPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS DATED 31.03.2015 IN ITEM NO. 1 OF THE 1ST RESPONDENT**
- P3- TRUE COPY OF THE REVISION PETITION IN MVARP NO. 124/2015 DATED 17.6.2015 ALONG WITH ANNEXURES A1 TO A4**
- P4- TRUE COPY OF THE REVISION PETITION IN MVARP NO. 125/2015 DATED 17.6.2015 ALONG WITH ANNEXURES A1 TO A3**
- P5- TRUE COPY OF THE NOTICE DATED 24.08.2015 ISSUED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT**
- P6- TRUE COPY OF THE OBJECTION DATED 4.9.2015 SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER POINTING OUT THE PENDENCY OF MVARP NO.124/2015 BEFORE THE 2ND RESPONDENT**
- P7- TRUE COPY OF THE OBJECTION DATED 4.9.2015 SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER POINTING OUT THE PENDENCY OF MVARP NO.125/2015 BEFORE THE 2ND RESPONDENT**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS:-NIL

/TRUE COPY/

P.A. TO JUDGE

DCS

V. CHITAMBARESH, J

W.P.(C). NO. 26886 OF 2015

Dated this the 7th day of September, 2015

JUDGMENT

The petitioner has filed Exts. P6 and P7 objections to Ext. P5 notice convening a timing conference. The grievance is that the same is done pending Exts. P3 and P4 revision petitions filed against Exts.P1 and P2 proceedings allotting timings.

2. I direct the second respondent to consider Exts. P6 and P7 objections filed to Ext. P5 notice. The objections shall also be taken into consideration while issuing proceedings in the matter of fixation of timings.

The writ petition is disposed of.

**V. CHITAMBARESH
JUDGE**

DCS