

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

MONDAY, THE 7TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2015/16TH BHADRA, 1937

WP(C).No. 26864 of 2015 (G)

PETITIONER(S):

SONA MOHANDAS C AGED 30 YEARS
HIGH SCHOOL ASSISTANT (ENGLISH)
T.D. HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL
THURAVOOR, ALAPPUZHA DISTRICT
W/O JAYAKUMAR, RESIDING AT CHIRA THARAYIL SINI NIVAS
P.O. KAREKKAD, THANNEERMUKKOM, ALAPPUZHA DISTRICT

BY ADVS.SRI.V.A.MUHAMMED
SRI.V.RAJASEKHARAN NAIR

RESPONDENTS:

1. THE STATE OF KERALA REPRESENTED BY ITS
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT GENERAL EDUCATION DEPARTMENT
GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 695 001
2. THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTIONS
JAGATHY, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 695 014
3. THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION ALAPPUZHA 688 001
4. THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER
CHERTHALA, ALAPPUZHA DISTRICT 688 524
5. THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER
ALAPPUZHA
6. THE CORPORATE MANAGER
T.D. SCHOOLS, THURAVOOR,
ALAPPUZHA DISTRICT 688 540

R BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT. M.J. RAJASREE

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
07-09-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX

PETITIONERS EXHIBITS:

EXT.P1: TRUE COPY OF THE APPOINTMENT ORDER OF THE PETITIONER DT 2.6.2008
AND APPROVAL THEREON

EXT.P2: TRUE COPY OF THE APPOINTMENT ORDER OF THE PETITIONER DT 1.6.2009
AND APPROVAL THEREON

EXT.P3: TRUE COPY OF THE REVISION PETITION FILED BEFORE THE DY.DIRECTOR
OF EDUCATION DT 13.01.2012

EXT.P4: TRUE COPY OF THE APPEAL FILED BEFORE THE 2ND RESPONDENT DT
20.08.2013

RESPONDENTS EXHIBITS: NIL

TRUE COPY

P.A TO JUDGE

jma

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J

W.P(C) No. 26864 of 2015 G

Dated this the 07th day of September, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner, a High School Assistant in English, is aggrieved with the order of approval of appointment limiting the term only upto the closing of the school for mid-summer vacation during the academic year 2008-09, evidenced at Exhibit P1.

2. It is the case of the writ petitioner that she was appointed as HSA (English) from 02.06.2008 in an additional division vacancy and further re-appointed as such, under the same management in another school, from 01.06.2009 in a regular retirement vacancy. The non-approval of the appointment during the period 01.04.2009 to 31.05.2009 was challenged in appeal before the 3rd respondent, vide Exhibit P3. Thereafter the petitioner filed a revision before the 2nd respondent on 20.08.2013, evidenced at Exhibit P4. The petitioner is aggrieved by the non-consideration of the revision, Exhibit P4, by the 2nd respondent, till date.

3. Exhibit P4 revision, challenging non-approval of the appointment between 01.04.2009 to 31.05.2009 is stated to be pending before the 2nd respondent from October, 2013. In such circumstance, it is only proper that the revision be disposed of expeditiously, at any rate within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this judgment; after affording an opportunity for hearing to the petitioner. It is directed so.

The writ petition is disposed of as above.

Sd/-

(K. VINOD CHANDRAN, JUDGE)

jma

//true copy//

P.A to Judge