

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE

FRIDAY, THE 4TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2015/13RD BHADRA, 1937

WP(C).No. 26747 of 2015 (P)

PETITIONER(S):

- 1. A. DEVAYANI, AGED 80 YEARS,
W/O.ATHADI DAMODARAN, RESIDING AT ATHADI HOUSE,
MANKAVU, KOZHIKODE.**
- 2. A.JAYAKUMAR, AGED 62 YEARS
S/O.ATHADI JAYAKUMAR, RESIDING AT ATHADI HOUSE,
MANKAVU, KOZHIKODE.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.V.V.SURENDRAN
SRI.P.A.HARISH**

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER,
CIVIL STATION, KOZHIKODE 673020.**
- 2. THE TAHSILDAR,
CIVIL STATION, KOZHIKODE 673020.**
- 3. THE VILLAGE OFFICER,
VALAYANAD VILLAGE, P.O.MANKAVU,
KOZHIKODE 673007.**

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT.C.K.SHERIN

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 04-09-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

PJ

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

- P1: A TRUE COPY OF THE KANOM DEED EXECUTED IN FAVOUR OF ATHADI DAMODARAN
- P2: A TRUE COPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE SPECIAL TAHSILDAR FOR LAND ACQUISITION KOZHIKODE
- P3: A TRUE COPY OF THE BASIC TAX RECEIPT ISSUED TO THE PETITIONER FOR THE YEAR 2015
- P4: A TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER OF THE SPECIAL LAND TRIBUNAL, VADAKARA IN OA.NO.295/75
- P5: A TRUE COPY OF THE PURCHASE CERTIFICATE ISSUED TO LATE ATHADI DAMODARAN
- P6: A TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER OF THE SPECIAL DEPUTY TAHSILDAR, VADAKARA IN SMC 161/11
- P7: A TRUE COPY OF THE PURCHASE CERTIFICATE ISSUED TO THE PETITIONERS
- P8: A TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DATED 05.12.2013 MADE BY THE PETITIONERS TO THE 1ST RESPONDENT
- P9: A TRUE COPY OF THE SETTLEMENT EXTRACT WITH RESPECT TO TS.24.03.97/3, 24.03.98/3 AND 24.03.97/1
- P10: A TRUE COPY OF THE REPORT ALONG WITH THE SKETCH SUBMITTED BY THE 3RD RESPONDENT TO THE 2ND RESPONDENT
- P11: A TRUE COPY OF THE REPORT SUBMITTED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT TO THE 1ST RESPONDENT

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS

NIL.

/ TRUE COPY /

P.S. TO JUDGE

PJ

A. MUHAMED MUSTAQUE, J

W.P.(C).No. 26747 of 2015

Dated this the 04th day of September, 2015

JUDGMENT

The petitioner aggrieved by delay in concluding the proceedings based on Ext.P8 has approached this Court. In view of the pendency of Ext.P8 as above, there shall be a direction to the 1st respondent-The Revenue Divisional Officer, to conclude the proceedings based on Ext.P8 within a period of three months after affording notice to the petitioner.

The writ petition is disposed of as above.

Sd/-

A. MUHAMED MUSTAQUE, JUDGE

bpr