

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE

TUESDAY, THE 1ST DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2015/10TH BHADRA, 1937

WP(C).No. 26316 of 2015 (L)

PETITIONER:

**V.T.ABOOBACKER,
ASHRAF MANZIL, HOUSE NO. 26/1891,
THIRUVANNUR P.O., KOZHIKODE - 673 029.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.T.G.RAJENDRAN
SRI.T.R.TARIN
SMT.ANN SUSAN GEORGE
SRI.V.A.VINOD**

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. THE LAND REVENUE COMMISSIONER,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 001.**
- 2. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR,
KOZHIKODE - 673 306.**
- 3. THE ADDITIONAL DISTRICT MAGISTRATE,
KOZHIKODE- 673 306.**
- 4. THE DISTRICT POLICE CHIEF,
KOZHIKODE - 673 306.**

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.E.M.ABDUL KHADER

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 01-09-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

mbr/

WP(C).No. 26316 of 2015 (L)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS:

- P1. A TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER OF THIS HON'BLE HIGH COURT
DATED 8.10.2009 IN CRL MC NO.2361/2009.**
- P2. A TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER DATED 21/10/2014 ISSUED BY THE
2ND RESPONDENT.**
- P3. TRUE COPY OF THE APPEAL DATED 11.11.14 PENDING BEFORE THE
1ST RESPONDENT.**

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS: NIL.

//TRUE COPY//

P.S. TO JUDGE

mbr/

A. MUHAMED MUSTAQUE, J.

.....
W.P.(C) No.26316 of 2015 (L)

.....
Dated this the 1st day of September, 2015

JUDGMENT

The petitioner is a member of National Rifle Shooters Association and he is having one 22 riffle and two SBBL guns with valid Arms licence bearing No.2080/KDE. When the petitioner applied for application for renewal of the above licence, the 2nd respondent dismissed the application by Ext.P2 order and rejected the re-instatement of licence on the ground that the police authorities has not recommended the same as there was no threat to the petitioner's life or property. Aggrieved by Ext.P2 order, petitioner preferred Ext.P3 appeal before the 1st respondent, but the proceedings are still to be finalised and hence the writ petition.

2. Heard the learned Government Pleader as well.

3. After hearing both sides, this Court is of the view that the matter has to be taken to a logical conclusion by passing appropriate orders in Ext.P3 appeal preferred by the petitioner. Accordingly there shall be a direction to the 1st respondent to

consider Ext.P3 appeal, with notice to the petitioner, as expeditiously as possible, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

The writ petition is disposed of as above.

Sd/-
A. MUHAMED MUSTAQUE
JUDGE

AMV/02/09/