

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE

TUESDAY, THE 1ST DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2015/10TH BHADRA, 1937

WP(C).No. 26306 of 2015 (k)

PETITIONER :

**M.P. MUHAMMED
AGED 51 YEARS, S/O. UNNEEN,
MANNATHODI PARAPPURATH HOUSE
VALIUVAMBRAM P.O., ATHANICKAL,
POOKKOTTUR, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.K.ABDUL JAWAD
SRI.ABDUL MAJEED N.
SMT.V.K.ANJU**

RESPONDENT :

**THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER
PERINTHALMANNA, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT-676505.**

BY GOVT. PLEADER SRI. E.M. ABDUL KHADER

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 01-09-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

Mn

...2/-

WP(C).No. 26306 of 2015 (k)

APPENDIX

PETITIONERS' EXHIBITS :

EXHIBIT P1: TRUE COPY OF THE RELEVANT PAGE OF THE DATA BANK.

**EXHIBIT P2: TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION 13.8.15 SUBMITTED BY THE
PETITIONER UNDER CLAUSE 6 OF THE KLU ORDER BEFORE THE
RESPONDENT.**

**EXHIBIT P3: TRUE COPY OF THE REPORT DATED 27.7.2015 OF THE
AGRICULTURAL OFFICER, POOKKOTTUR TO THE RESPONDENT.**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS : NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.S. TO JUDGE

Mn

A. MUHAMED MUSTAQUE, J.

.....
W.P.(C) No. 26306 of 2015 (K)

.....
Dated this the 1st day of September, 2015

JUDGMENT

The petitioner is stated as the owner of the property having an extent of 8.50 Ares situated in Block No.5, Re.Sy.No.179/9 of Pookkottur Village, in Ernad Taluk in Malappuram District. According to the petitioner, the land in question is a 'dry land', at least for the last 20 years. Though the land in question is actually a 'dry land', it has been described as 'paddy land' in the revenue records. The grievance of the petitioner is that, despite filing Ext.P2 application under Clause 6 of the Kerala Land Utilisation order before the Revenue Divisional Officer/respondent seeking permission for correction in the revenue records, the same is still to be considered by the respondent. Hence the challenge.

2. Heard the learned Government Pleader as well.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, the petitioner will be satisfied, if a direction is given to the respondent to consider and pass appropriate orders on Ext. P2 application within a reasonable time.

4. In view of the pendency of the above application, there shall be a direction to the respondent to consider Ext.P2 application, after verifying the draft data bank, by giving an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and other parties, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

The writ petition is disposed of as above.

Sd/-
A. MUHAMED MUSTAQUE
JUDGE

AMV/01/09/