

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE

MONDAY, THE 7TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2015/16TH BHADRA, 1937

WP(C).No. 26109 of 2015 (K)

PETITIONER(S) :

**BAIJU, AGED 36 YEARS,
S/O. PADMAJA KUMARAN NAIR, RESIDING AT ADHITHYA NIVAS,
IV/80A, MULLASSERY, KARAKULAM P.O., TRIVANDRUM.**

**BY ADVS.SMT.M.SANTHI (K/868/2011)
SRI.G.RANJU MOHAN**

RESPONDENT(S) :

- 1. KERALA WATER AUTHORITY,
REPRESENTED BY MANAGING DIRECTOR, JALA BHAVAN,
VELLAYAMBALAM- 695 564.**
- 2. THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
KERALA WATER AUTHORITY, ARUVIKARA DIVISION, ARUVIKKARA,
TRIVANDRUM- 695 564.**
- 3. THE ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
KERALA WATER AUTHORITY, NEDUMANGAD DIVISION,
TRIVANDRUM- 695 541.**
- 4. GEETHA,
W/O. MOHANAN NAIR, ARIYATHVILAKAM VEEDU, MULLASSERRY,
KARAKULAM P.O., TRIVANDRUM- 695 564.**

R1 TO R3 BY ADV. SRI.JOSEPH JOHN, S.C

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 07-09-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED
THE FOLLOWING:**

Msd.

WP(C).No. 26109 of 2015 (K)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS :

**EXHIBIT-P1: TRUE COPY OF THE SALE DEED NO. 4006/2011 OF KARAKULAM
SUB REGISTRAR OFFICER DATED 15/12/2011.**

**EXHIBIT-P2: TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION SUBMITTED BY
THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE 2ND AND 3RD RESPONDENT.**

**EXHIBIT-P3: TRUE COPY OF THE COMPLAINT MADE BY THE 4TH RESPONDENT
BEFORE THE 3RD RESPONDENT DATED 12/06/2015.**

**EXHIBIT-P4: TRUE COPY OF THE INTERIM INJUNCTION ORDER OF
THE HONOURABLE MUNSIF COURT, NEDUMANGAD IN
O.S.NO.519/2014.**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS :

NIL

//TRUE COPY//

PA.TO JUDGE.

Msd.

A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE, J.

=====

W.P.(C).No.26109 of 2015

=====

Dated this the 7th day of September, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner approached this Court to obtain a water connection from the official respondents. It appears that the petitioner has attempted to lay pipe line through the path way. It is also submits that there is an injunction against the 4th respondent from civil court as per Ext.P4. According to the petitioner, the Water Authority will not grant water connection to him.

2. If the petitioner otherwise satisfied with providing water connection, necessary action shall be taken by the 3rd respondent to provide water connection, notwithstanding any order passed by the civil court as against the 4th respondent.

The writ petition is disposed of.

sd/-

sab

A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE, JUDGE

