

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE

THURSDAY, THE 20TH DAY OF AUGUST 2015/29TH SRAVANA, 1937

WP(C).No. 25557 of 2015 (T)

PETITIONER :

**PAULOSE
AGED 70 YEARS, S/O. VARGHESE,
KOLLAMPARAMBIL HOUSE, VELLATHOOVAL
IDUKKI DISTRICT.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.GEORGE SEBASTIAN
SRI.RAJESH RAJAN**

RESPONDENTS :

**1. THE DEPUTY COLLECTOR (REVENUE RECOVERY)
TALUK OFFICE, DEVIKULAM, IDUKKI DISTRICT-685 602.**

**2. THE VILLAGE OFFICER
KUNCHITHANNY, IDUKKI DISTRICT-685 602.**

R1 & R2 BY GOVT. PLEADER SRI. GIKKU JACOB

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 20-08-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

Mn

...2/-

WP(C).No. 25557 of 2015 (T)

APPENDIX

PETITIONERS' EXHIBITS :

**EXHIBIT P1- A TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER DATED 21-12-2010 IN CRIMINAL M.C.
NO.4660/2010.**

**EXHIBIT P2- A TRUE COPY OF THE NOTICE DATED 27-3-2014 UNDER SECTION 7 OF
THE KERALA REVENUE RECOVERY ACT ISSUED BY THE 1ST
RESPONDENT.**

**EXHIBIT P3- A TRUE COPY OF THE BASIC TAX RECEIPT DATED 11-7-2012 ISSUED
FROM THE KUNCHITHANNY VILLAGE OFFICE.**

**EXHIBIT P4- A TRUE COPY OF THE MEDICAL CERTIFICATE DATED 10-08-2015
ISSUED BY THE MEDICAL OFFICER IN CHARGE OF CHITHIRAPURAM
COMMUNITY HEALTH CENTER.**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS : NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

Mn

A. MUHAMED MUSTAQUE, J

W.P.(C).No. 25557 of 2015

Dated this the 20th day of August, 2015

JUDGMENT

The petitioner challenging revenue recovery proceedings to recover the amount due based on the forfeiture of the bond executed by him before the Additional Sessions Court, Thodupuzha has approached this Court.

2. The only prayer before this Court is that he may be given instalment facility to discharge the entire liability.

3. Considering the facts and circumstances, the following directions are issued :

i) The petitioner is permitted to discharge the entire liability in ten equal monthly instalments starting from 14.09.2015.

ii) If the petitioner commits default in remitting any one of the instalments, the respondents are free to proceed against the petitioner in accordance with law.

The writ petition is disposed of as above. No costs.

Sd/-

A. MUHAMED MUSTAQUE, JUDGE