

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.V.RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI

MONDAY, THE 23RD DAY OF NOVEMBER 2015/2ND AGRAHAYANA, 1937

WP(C) .No. 29782 OF 2009 (P)

PETITIONER(S) :

SMT.BABY, AGED 60 YEARS,
W/O.GEORGE, MATHIRAPPILLY HOUSE,
ELAMKUNNAPPUZHA P.O., KOCHI TALUK.

BY ADV. SRI.P.V.GEORGE (PUTHIYEDAM)

RESPONDENT(S) :

1. SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
NJARACKAL POLICE STATION,
NJARACKAL P.O., ERNAKULAM.
2. SHRIRAM TRANSPORT FINANCE CO.LTD.,
REP.BY BRANCH MANAGER, EDAPPILLY BRANCH, SAIRA PLAZA
NEAR HIGH SCHOOL, EDAPILLY, KOCHI.

R1 BY SR.GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.K.K.SAIDALAVI
R2 BY ADV.SRI.C.HARIKUMAR
SMT.MOLLY KOSHY

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON
23-11-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

J U D G M E N T

THE LEARNED COUNSEL FOR THE PETITIONER SUBMITTED THAT THE
MATTER HAS BECOME INFRUCTUOUS. THEREFORE, THE WRIT PETITION IS
CLOSED AS INFRUCTUOUS WITHOUT EXAMINING THE MERITS.

SD/-
A.V.RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI
JUDGE

bka/-

//TRUE COPY//

PA TO JUDGE

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.JOHN MATHEW
(RETD JUDGE, HIGH COURT OF KERALA)

AND

SMT.K.SREELATHA DEVI
(RETD. DISTRICT JUDGE)

- - - - -
W.P.(C) NO.29782 OF 2009
- - - - -

DATED THIS THE 23RD DAY OF MARCH, 2010

A W A R D

After lengthy discussions, the parties have settled the dispute in the following manner:-

The balance arrears due towards the account of the second respondent is agreed to be Rs.3,15,000/- (Rupees Three lakhs fifteen thousand only). This amount will be paid in the following manner. (1).On 30.3.2010, Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty thousand only), (2).On 15.4.2010, Rs.1,00,000/- (Rupees One lakh only), (3).On 15.5.2010, Rs.1,00,000/- (Rupees One lakh only). The balance amount of Rs.65,000/- (Rupees Sixty five thousand only) on 31.5.2010. The first regular instalment of Rs.17,800/- (Rupees Seventeen thousand eight hundred only) will be paid on 30.3.2010. The subsequent instalments will be paid on the 15th of every succeeding months. In default of payment, the agreed rate of interest will apply and the second respondent will be entitled to realise it. The second respondent undertakes that the vehicle will not be seized if

these payments are regularly made. Post on 31.3.2010.

Sd/-
K.JOHN MATHEW
(RETD JUDGE)

Sd/-
K.SREELATHA DEVI
(RETD. DISTRICT JUDGE)

ss/.