

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.V.RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI

TUESDAY, THE 27TH DAY OF JANUARY 2015/7TH MAGHA, 1936

WP(C).No. 29298 of 2012 (J)

PETITIONER(S) :

- 1. SASI P.K, AGED 29 YEARS,
PROFESSIONAL ASSISTANT GRADE-II,
UNIVERSITY OF CALICUT, THENHIPALAM, MALAPPURAM.**
- 2. ARUN.K.P,
PROFESSIONAL ASSISTANT GRADE-II,
UNIVERSITY OF CALICUT, THENHIPALAM, MALAPPURAM.**

BY ADV. SRI.P.C.SASIDHARAN

RESPONDENT(S) :

- 1. UNIVERSITY OF CALICUT,
REPRESENTED BY ITS REGISTRAR, THENHIPALAM,
MALAPPURAM- 673 635.**
- 2. THE VICE CHANCELLOR,
UNIVERSITY OF CALICUT, THENHIPALAM,
MALAPPURAM- 673 635.**
- 3. THE UNIVERSITY LIBRARIAN,
UNIVERSITY OF CALICUT, THENHIPALAM,
MALAPPURAM- 673 635.**

BY ADV. SRI.SANTHOSH MATHEW, S.C

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 27-01-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED
THE FOLLOWING:**

Msd.

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

- EXT.P1: TRUE COPY OF THE POSTING ORDER DATED 28-06-2010 OF 1ST PETITIONER.
- EXT.P2: TRUE COPY OF THE POSTING ORDER DATED 20-08-2010 OF 2ND PETITIONER.
- EXT.P3: TRUE COPY OF THE UNIVERSITY ISSUED ORDER REVISING THE SCALE OF PAY OF PROFESSIONAL ASSISTANTS ON 08-06-2011.
- EXT.P4: TRUE COPY OF THE LAST ORDER OF DECLARATION OF PROBATION WAS ISSUED ON 06-02-2012 IN FAVOUR OF SMT. REHNA.N.C.
- EXT.P5: TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION SUBMITTED BY THE 1ST PETITIONER.
- EXT.P6: TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION SUBMITTED BY THE 2ND PETITIONER.
- EXT.P7: TRUE COPY OF THE MINUTES OF THE MEETING DATED 19-11-2011.
- EXT.P8: TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER DECLARING THE PROBATION OF SRI. DINESH.V.
- EXT.P9: TRUE COPY OF THE CIRCULAR ISSUED BY THE UNIVERSITY DATED 28-11-2011.
- EXT.P10: TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION SUBMITTED BY THE 2ND PETITIONER.
- EXT.P11: TRUE COPY OF MEMO DATED 14.08.2013.

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS

NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A.TO JUDGE.

Msd.

A.V. RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI, J.

W.P.(C) No. 29298 of 2012

Dated this the 27th day of January, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioners have approached this Court for a direction to the respondents to declare that the petitioners have satisfactorily completed the period of probation of one year within a continuous period of two years.

2. This Court, by interim order dated 30.08.2013, made clear that the pendency of the writ petition will not stand in the way of the respondents in considering the question of declaration of probation of the petitioners.

3. Today, when the matter came up for hearing, the learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that on the basis of the interim order, the respondents have declared that the petitioners have satisfactorily completed their probation within a continuous period of two years.

Recording the said submission, the writ petition is closed.

Sd/-
A.V. RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI,
JUDGE

bka/-