

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU

TUESDAY, THE 7TH DAY OF JULY 2015/16TH ASHADHA, 1937

WP(C).No. 29642 of 2009 (A)

PETITIONER : -

P.S.KURIAN, S/O.SIMON,
AGED 39 YEARS, PULIKKAPANATTU HOUSE,
KOOTTUMUGHAM P.O.,
KANNUR DISTRICT.

BY ADVS.SRI.G.MOHAN
SRI.DEVAN RAMACHANDRAN
SRI.K.M.ANEESH

RESPONDENTS : -

1. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
TAXES DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.
2. THE COMMISSIONER OF EXCISE,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.
3. DEPUTY COMMISSIONER OF EXCISE,
NORTHERN RANGE, KOZHIKODE.
4. ASSISTANT EXCISE COMMISSIONER,
KANNUR.
5. MATHEW JOSEPH,
S/O.MULLAKARIYIL CHERIAN JOSEPH,
MULLAKKARIYIL HOUSE, IDUKKI-685 588.
6. THOMAS MULLAKARIYIL JOSEPH,
S/O.MULLAKARIYIL CHERIAN JOSEPH, 32/800 A,
ELAVUNKAL ROAD, PALARIVATTOM P.O., PIN-682 025
COCHIN.
7. ELLICKAL JOSE, S/O.ELLICKAL PHILIPPOSE THOMAS,
ELLICKAL, MOORIKKOOVAL PAYYANNUR P.O.
PIN-670 307.

8. MATHEW THOMAS KATTIVEETIL,
S/O.THOMAS KATTIVEETIL,
KATTIVEETIL HOUSE, KEEZHUR,
CHAVASSERYIRITTY P.O., PIN-670 703.
 9. LEELAMMA MATHEW,
D/O.ALINKAL CHERIYAN,
KEETTIVEETIL HOUSE, KEEZHUR,
CHAVASSERYIRITTY-670 703.
 10. NISHAD MATHEW,
S/O.MATHEW THOMAS,
KATTIVEETIL HOUSE, KEEZHUR,
CHAVASSERYIRITTY-670 703.
 11. NIDHIMON MATHEW,
S/O.MATHEW THOMAS,
KATTIVEETIL HOUSE, IRITTY P.O.,
PIN-670 703.
 12. VYSHAK INTERNATIONAL HOTELS PVT. LTD.,
WARD NO.21, DOOR NO.116, GOVT. HOSPITAL ROAD,
PAYYANNUR-670 307.
- R8 TO R12 BY ADV.DR.K.P.SATHEESAN
R8 TO R12 BY ADV.SRI.K.K.GOPINATHANNAIR
R8 TO R12 BY ADV.SRI.M.R.JAYAPRASAD
,R8 TO R12 BY ADV.SRI.P.MOHANDAS (ERNAKULAM)
R8 TO R12 BY ADV.SRI.MATHEW SUNNY
R6 BY ADV.SRI.P.K.VIJAYAMOHANAN
R6 BY ADV.SRI.ALAN PAPAI
R6 BY ADV.SRI.GILBERT GEORGE CORREYA
R6 BY ADV.SRI.NISHIL.P.S.
R6 BY ADV.SMT.ANITHA MATHAI MUTHIRENTHY
R5 BY ADV.SRI.RAJU JOSEPH
R1 TO 4 BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI. G. GOPAKUMAR

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON
07-07-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

DMR/-

DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU, J.

W.P.(c) No. 29642 of 2009

Dated this the 07th day of July, 2015

JUDGMENT

None appears for the petitioner. Despite the fact that the writ petition belongs to the year 2009 and that well in advance this Court has notified that the matter would be taken up today, the petitioner has not chosen to appear before the Court to prosecute the case. This Court accordingly holds that the petitioner may not be inclined to pursue the writ petition. As a result, the writ petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

**DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU
JUDGE**

DMR/-