

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.V.RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI

WEDNESDAY, THE 19TH DAY OF AUGUST 2015/28TH SRAVANA, 1937

WP(C).No. 25219 of 2015 (B)

PETITIONER :

**RAMDEO KUMAR MANDAL, AGED 27 YEARS,
S/O.JAGADISH MANDEL, C/O. T.K.SEETHI,
KOLLAMKUDIYIL HOUSE, COCHIN PUBLIC SCHOOL ROAD,
THRIKKAKARA 682 021, ERNAKULAM DISTRICT.**

BY ADV. SRI.SHERRY J. THOMAS

RESPONDENTS :

- 1. COCHIN UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY,
REPRESENTED BY ITS REGISTRAR, CUSAT CAMPUS,
KALAMASSERY 683 104.**
- 2. CONTROLLER OF EXAMINATIONS,
CUSAT, KALAMASSERY 683 104.**

BY SRI.MILLU DANDAPANI

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 19-08-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

bp

WP(C).No. 25219 of 2015 (B)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT P1: COPY OF THE APPLICATION FOR REVALUATION.

EXHIBIT P2: COPY OF THE THE REVALUATION FEE CHELLAN DT 16-02-2015

**EXHIBIT P3: COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER
BEFORE THE 2ND RESPONDENT.**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS : NIL.

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

bp

A.V.RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI, J.

=====

W.P(C) No.25219 of 2015

=====

Dated this the 19th day of August, 2015

JUDGMENT

The petitioner is seeking a direction to the respondent university for revaluation of his answer sheets within a time frame.

2. The petitioner is a B.Tech (Computer Science & Engineering) student of the first respondent university, who await placement on various companies. The petitioner failed for the Subject Code CS 305 Principles of programming language of 3rd semester exam. Therefore, he applied for revaluation of the same before the 2nd respondent by complying due procedure and paid the required fee; it is alleged.

3. The petitioner points out that he is about to get placement in some of the companies and he is a prospective candidate for the same. The petitioner further points out that the last date of application

for revaluation is 26.6.2015. Therefore, as per the directions, the respondents need to publish the result within 45 days of last date of submission. However, result is not published. It is with this background, the petitioner has approached this Court.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned standing counsel for the respondent university.

5. The learned standing counsel for the respondent university on instructions submitted that the revaluation would be over by the end of September, 2015.

Therefore, the writ petition is disposed of directing the respondent university to adhere to the time schedule and to declare the results without delay.

Sd/-

A.V.RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI
JUDGE

krj