

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

**THE HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR.ASHOK BHUSHAN
&
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.SHAFFIQUE**

TUESDAY, THE 18TH DAY OF AUGUST 2015/27TH SRAVANA, 1937

WP(C).No. 25185 of 2015 (W)

PETITIONER(S):

**MONCY PANICKER, S/O.GANGADHARA PANICKER,
AGED 37 YEARS OLD, CHEMMAKKADU,
PERINADU P.O., ANCHALUMMOODU,
KOLLAM DISTRICT-691 601.**

BY ADV. SRI.S.SHAJI.

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. STATE OF KERALA,
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
HOME DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 001.**
- 2. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER OF POLICE,
O/O. THE ACP, KOLLAM-691 001.**
- 3. THE CIRCLE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
WEST POLICE STATION, KOLLAM-691 001.**
- 4. THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ANCHALUMMOODU POLICE STATION,
PERINADU P.O., KOLLAM DISTRICT-691 601.**
- 5. C. CHITHAMBARAN THAMPI @ C.C. THAMPI,
KULATHIYIL, NEENDAKARA VILA,
NEAR PARIMANAM TEMPLE, KAVANADU P.O.,
KOLLAM DISTRICT-691 001.**

R1 TO R4 BY SR. GOVT. PLEADER SRI.C.R. SYAMKUMAR.

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 18-08-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

rs.

WP(C).No. 25185 of 2015 (W)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:-

EXT.P1 COPY OF THE COMPLAINT MADE AGAINST THE RESPONDENT NO.5
AND HIS HENCHMEN BEFORE THE 4TH RESPONDENT DTD. 12/08/2015.

EXT.P1A TRUE ENGLISH TRANSLATION OF EXT.P1.

RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS:- NIL.

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

rs.

ASHOK BHUSHAN, CJ

&

A.M.SHAFFIQUE, J.

W.P.C.No.25185 of 2015

Dated this the 18th day of August 2015

J U D G M E N T

Shaffique, J

Petitioner has approached this Court seeking police protection inter alia alleging that 5th respondent along with certain other persons have trespassed into the house where his wife and son are living, alleging that the petitioner owes some amount to the 5th respondent. Petitioner submits that he did not borrow any amount from any person and he is unnecessarily being threatened by the 5th respondent. Though he has given Ext.P1 complaint, no action has been taken by the police and hence he has approached this Court.

2. The learned Government Pleader, on instructions, would submit that Ext.P1 complaint was received by post on 17/08/2015 and when the police tried to contact the petitioner, the address shown was insufficient. Even the address of the persons mentioned as accused was insufficient to contact.

3. Having regard to the aforesaid factual situation, we do not think that a direction, as sought for, can be issued in this writ petition. We only observe that if the petitioner approaches the police with all the necessary details, the police shall look into the matter and do the needful.

With the above observation, this writ petition is closed.

(sd/-)
(ASHOK BHUSHAN, CHIEF JUSTICE)

(sd/-)
(A.M.SHAFFIQUE, JUDGE)

jsr

