

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.K.JAYASANKARAN NAMBIAR

TUESDAY, THE 18TH DAY OF AUGUST 2015/27TH SRAVANA, 1937

WP(C).No. 25126 of 2015 (M)

PETITIONER(S):

**N. RAJAN AGED 48 YEARS, S/O. KUKAN
JUNIOR SUPERINTENDENT,
KERALA WATER AUTHORITY, PH DIVISION
KANNUR - 670 014**

BY ADV. SRI.A.K.ABDUL AZEEZ

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. THE STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY
SECRETARY, WATER RESOURCES (WSC) DEPARTMENT
GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 033**
- 2. THE MANAGING DIRECTOR,
KERALA WATER AUTHORITY, JALA BHAVAN,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 033**
- 3. THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
KERALA WATER AUTHORITY, PH DIVISION
KANNUR - 670 014**
- 4. THE ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
W.S (WATER RESOURCES) SUB DIVISION
KERALA WATER AUTHORITY, KANNUR - 670 014**

**R BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER, SMT. M.J. RAJASREE
R BY SRI.JOSEPH JOHN, SC, KERALA WATER AUTHORITY**

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
18-08-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

WP(C).No. 25126 of 2015 (M)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:-

- EXT.P1 TRUE COPY OF THE SENIORITY LIST OF UDC AS ON 31.12.2011
PUBLISHED IN COMPLIANCE WITH VARIOUS JUDGMENTS OF THIS
HON'BLE COURT.**
- EXT.P2 TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER SUBMITTED BEFORE THE 2ND
RESPONDENT DATED 14.07.2015.**
- EXT.P3 TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER VIDE No.KWA/JB/E-2(B)/17973/2004/VOL.II
DATED 12.08.2015 ISSUED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT.**

RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS:-

NIL

// True copy //

PA to Judge

das

A.K.JAYASANKARAN NAMBIAR, J.

W.P.(C). No. 25126 of 2015

Dated this the 18th day of August, 2015

JUDGMENT

The petitioner, who is working as Junior Superintendent at PH Division of the respondent Water Authority, is aggrieved by the fact that in Ext.P3 order, which shows the names of qualified Junior Superintendents, who are promoted as Divisional Accounts Officers, his name has not been included. The specific case of the petitioner is that, his seniority has not been correctly worked out by the respondent and, in this connection, he has preferred Ext.P2 representation before the 2nd respondent. The limited prayer of the petitioner in the writ petition is for a direction to the 2nd respondent to consider and pass orders on Ext.P2 representation, expeditiously, after hearing the petitioner.

2. I have heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner as also the learned Standing Counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents.

On a consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case as also the submissions made across the bar, I dispose the writ petition with a direction to the 2nd respondent to consider and pass orders on Ext.P2 representation, after hearing the petitioner,

within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment. The petitioner shall produce a copy of the writ petition together with a copy of this judgment before the 2nd respondent for further action.

Sd/-
A.K.JAYASANKARAN NAMBIAR
JUDGE

das