

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL K.NARENDRA

FRIDAY, THE 21ST DAY OF AUGUST 2015/30TH SRAVANA, 1937H

WP(C).No. 25114 of 2015 (L)

PETITIONER :

N.SIVADASAN,
PUTHENTHARAYIL HOUSE, THIRUMALABHAGAM P.O., THURAVOOR,
CHERTHALA, ALAPPUZHA DISTRICT.

BY ADVS.SRI.K.JAJU BABU (SR.)
SMT.M.U.VIJAYALAKSHMI

RESPONDENTS:

1. THE ADDITIONAL PRINCIPAL CHIEF FOREST CONSERVATOR-
(SPECIAL AFFORESTATION) AND NODAL OFFICER,
FOREST HEAD QUARTERS, VAZHUTHACAUD,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 014.
2. THE DIVISIONAL FOREST OFFICER,
RANNI, PATHANAMTHITTA-689 672.

SR. GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.BIJU MEENATTOOR
BY SRI.M.A.THOMASKUTTY, SPL. GOVT. PLEADER

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
21-08-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WP(C).No. 25114 of 2015 (L)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS

EXT.P1. TRUE COPY OF THE LICENCE NO.C2-12/2001 (7) DATED 15/5/2001 ISSUED BY THE THURAVOOR GRAMA PANCHAYATH TO THE PETITIONER.

EXT.P2. TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION DATED 1/5/2015 SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER IN FORM 1A OF THE RULES BEFORE THE 1ST RESPONDENT.

EXT.P3. TRUE COPY OF THE COMMUNICATION NO.DC3-21677/2015 DATED 1/6/2015 ISSUED BY THE 1ST RESPONDENT.

EXT.P4. TRUE COPY OF G.O.(MS) NO.540/2013/LSGD DATED 2/3/2013 ISSUED BY THE GOVERNEMENT.

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS :- NIL.

True copy

P.A to Judge

ANIL K.NARENDRA, J.

W.P.(C)No.25114 of 2015

Dated this the 21st day of August, 2015

JUDGMENT

The petitioner, who is stated to be the owner of a saw mill viz. Revathi Industries in Thuravoor Grama Panchayat in Alappuzha District, has filed this writ petition seeking a writ of mandamus commanding the 2nd respondent to dispose of Ext.P2 application for licence submitted under the Kerala Forest (Regulation of Saw Mills and other Wood Based Industrial Units) Rules, 2012.

2. I heard the learned counsel for petitioner and also the learned Government Pleader appearing for the respondents.

3. Considering the fact that Ext.P2 application submitted by the petitioner is pending consideration before the 2nd respondent, this writ petition is disposed of directing the 2nd respondent to consider and pass appropriate orders on Ext.P2 application strictly in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, at any rate, within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this judgment.

It is made clear that this Court has not expressed anything on the merit of Ext.P2 application submitted by the petitioner and it is for the 2nd respondent to take an appropriate decision strictly in accordance with law, with notice to the petitioner.

ANIL K.NARENDRA, JUDGE

skj