

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL K.NARENDRA

TUESDAY, THE 18TH DAY OF AUGUST 2015/27TH SRAVANA, 1937

WP(C).No. 24962 of 2015 (U)

PETITIONER:

**YAHUTTY HAJI,
S/O. ABDULLAKUTTY HAJI, AGED 61 YEARS,
THAYYILKOTHAKATH HOUSE,
KAMMANAM P.O., TIRUR TALUK,
MALAPPURAM DISTRICT - 676 551.**

BY ADV. SRI.U.K.DEVIDAS

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. THE DEPUTY COLLECTOR,
(LAND REFORMS), COLLECTORATE,
MALAPPURAM DISTRICT - 676 505.**
- 2. THE VILLAGE OFFICER,
VALAVANNUR VILLAGE,
MALAPPURAM DISTRICT - 676 551.**

BY SENIOR GOVT. PLEADER SRI.BIJU MEENATTOOR

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 18-08-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

mbr/

WP(C).No. 24962 of 2015 (U)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS:

- P1 - TRUE COPY OF THE ASSIGNMENT DEED NO.3796/2012 DT. 08.10.2012.
- P2 - TRUE COPY OF THE SUOMOTO REPORT DT. 05.05.2014 SUBMITTED TO THE FIRST RESPONDENT.
- P3 - TRUE COPY OF THE CERTIFICATE DT. 05.8.2015.
- P4 - TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DT. 03.8.2015 IN WPC NO. 23311/2015.

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS: **NIL.**

//TRUE COPY//

P.S. TO JUDGE

mbr/

ANIL K. NARENDRAN, J.

W.P.(C) No.24962 of 2015

Dated this the 18th day of August, 2015

JUDGMENT

The petitioner is stated to be the owner of 22.27 Acres of land comprised in Re-Survey No.269/18 in the Valavannur Village in Tirur Taluk. He has approached this Court in this writ petition seeking a writ of mandamus commanding the 1st respondent to dispose of SM No.426 of 2014 within a reasonable time limit.

2. I heard the arguments of the learned counsel for the petitioner and also the learned Government Pleader appearing for the respondents.

3. Considering the fact that SM No.426 of 2014 is pending consideration before the 1st respondent, this writ petition is disposed of directing the said respondent to consider and pass appropriate orders thereon, as expeditiously as possible, at any rate, within a period of six months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this judgment.

It is made clear that this Court has not expressed anything

on the merits of the claim made by the petitioner and it is for the 1st respondent to take an appropriate decision in the matter strictly in accordance with law.

JV

sd/-
ANIL K. NARENDRAN,
JUDGE