

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.SURENDRA MOHAN

THURSDAY, THE 12TH DAY OF MARCH 2015/21ST PHALGUNA, 1936

WP(C).No. 29150 of 2009 (K)

PETITIONER(S):

- 1. THE MANAGER, POTHYIL L.P.SCHOOL
PALLIPPURAM MARAMPALLY, ALUVA 683 107.**
- 2. J.MANJUSHA
LOWER PRIMARY SCHOOL ASSISTANT
POTHYIL L.P.SCHOOL PALLIPPURAM MARAMPALLY
ALUVA 683 107.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.V.A.MUHAMMED
SRI.K.E.HAMZA**

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. THE STATE OF KERALA REPRESENTED BY ITS
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT GENERAL EDUCATION
DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.**
- 2. THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTIONS
JAGATHY, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-14.**
- 3. THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER
KOTHAMANGALAM, ERNAKULAM DISTRICT.**
- 4. THE ASSISTANT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER
PERUMBAVOOR, ERNAKULAM DISTRICT.**

R BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.ABHIJETT LESSLI

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 12-03-2015,
THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

Imp

WP(C).No. 29150 of 2009 (K)

APPENDIX

PETITIONERS EXHIBITS

- EXHIBIT P-1. TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER OF APPOINTMENT.**
- EXHIBIT P-1(a) TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER NO.C/2215/07/L.DIS. OF THE
 ASST.EDL.OFFICER.**
- EXHIBIT P2. TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER NO.D.DIS.C/2599/07 OF - DO -**
- EXHIBIT P3. TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER NO.B5- 6589/2007/K.DIS. OF THE
 DIST.EDL. OFFICER.**
- EXHIBIT P4. TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER NO.F5/62909/2008/K.DIS. OF THE 2ND
 RESPONDENT.**
- EXHIBIT P5. TRUE COPY OF THE REVISION PETITION FILED BY THE MANAGER.**
- EXHIBIT P6. TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER OF THE GOVERNMENT.**
- EXHIBIT P7. TRUE COPY OF THE G.O.(P) NO.317/2005/G.EDN. OF THE
 GOVERNMENT.**

RESPONDENTS EXHIBITS - NIL

//TRUE COPY//

PA TO JUDGE

Imp

K.SURENDRA MOHAN, J.

W.P.(C) No.29150 of 2009

Dated this the 12th day of March, 2015

JUDGMENT

The petitioner has filed this writ petition complaining that the appointment of the second petitioner has not been approved by the respondents in view of G.O.(P) No.317/2005/G.Edn. dated 17.08.2005 imposing a ban on fresh appointments in Aided Schools. Successive appeals as well as revision to the Government have been dismissed.

2. The Government Pleader on instructions submits that, in view of the subsequent G.O.(P). No.10/10/G.Edn dated 12.1.2010, the appointment of the second petitioner has already been approved.

3. In view of the submission made by the learned Government Pleader, no further directions are necessary to be issued in this case.

This writ petition is accordingly disposed of

recording the submission of the learned Government Pleader that, the appointment of the second petitioner has already been approved.

Sd/-
K.SURENDRA MOHAN,
JUDGE

Imp

//TRUE COPY//

PA TO JUDGE