

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE

MONDAY, THE 7TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2015/16TH BHADRA, 1937

WP(C).No. 24823 of 2015 (C)

PETITIONER(S):

- 1. RAJAN, S/O.KUMARAN,
MULAVANKATTIL HOUSE,
THALAPILLY TALUK, THRISSUR DISTRICT.**
- 2. ANILAN E.R., S/O.RAVUNNI,
ERATH HOUSE, MARATHENCODU P.O.,
THALAPILLY TALUK, THRISSUR DISTRICT.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.PHILIP T.VARGHESE,
SRI.THOMAS T.VARGHESE,
SMT.ACHU SUBHA ABRAHAM,
SMT.K.R.MONISHA.**

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. THE DIRECTOR OF CIVIL SUPPLIES,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM- 695 001.**
- 2. THE COMMISSIONER OF CIVIL SUPPLIES,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM- 695 001.**
- 3. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR,
CIVIL STATION, THRISSUR -680 003.**
- 4. THE DISTRICT SUPPLY OFFICER,
CIVIL STATION, THRISSUR -680 003.**
- 5. THE TALUK SUPPLY OFFICER,
THALAPILLY TALUK, THRISSUR -680 003.**
- 6. C.C. UKKURU, CHERUVATHOOR HOUSE,
MARATHENCODE P.O, THRISSUR- 680 604.**

**R1 TO R5 BY GOVT. PLEADER SRI.BIJU KUNJACHAN.
R6 BY ADVS. SRI.K.P.SURESH KUMAR,
SRI.M.M.MATHEW (MANNOOR ETTONNIL).**

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 07-09-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED
THE FOLLOWING:**

rs.

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:-

- EXHIBIT P1. COPY OF THE RELEVANT PAGES OF THE RATION CARD OF THE 1ST PETITIONER DATED 23.04.2012.
- EXHIBIT P2. COPY OF THE RELEVANT PAGES OF THE RATION CARD OF THE 2ND PETITIONER DATED 24.12.2008.
- EXHIBIT P3. COPY OF THE PETITION SUBMITTED TO THE 1ST RESPONDENT BY THE 1ST PETITIONER AND OTHERS DATED NIL.
- EXHIBIT P4. COPY OF THE LETTER NO.C.S.1-1111/15 ISSUED BY THE 5TH RESPONDENT DATED 19.06.2015.

RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS:- NIL.

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

rs.

A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE, J.

=====

W.P.(C).No.24823 of 2015

=====

Dated this the 7th day of September, 2015

J U D G M E N T

Petitioners are ration card holders attached to Authorised Retail Depot No.85 of Thalapilly Taluk.

2. The distributorship of ARD No.85 was granted to the 6th respondent. This was suspended since 2013. The petitioners apprehending the revocation of suspension and continuation of licence with the 6th respondent have approached this Court.

3. The petitioners cannot pre-empt an action being initiated by the statutory authority under the statutory provision. If at all, the petitioners have any grievance that can be initiated only based on the decision of the statutory authority. The statutory function has to be discharged by the Statutory Authority in the manner available to them under the statute. Therefore with liberty to challenge any action of the Statutory authority, when the decision is taken, the writ petition is disposed of. sd/-

sab

A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE, JUDGE

- : 2 :-