

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

THURSDAY, THE 20TH DAY OF AUGUST 2015/29TH SRAVANA, 1937

WP(C).No. 24391 of 2015 (Y)

PETITIONER:

**GOPALAKRISHNA PILLAI P. AGED 65 YEARS
S/O. LATE PARAMESHWARAN PILLAI, B/17, UTHRADAM
S.S.KOVIL LANE, KAWDIAR P.O.
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM DISTRICT.**

BY ADV. SRI.A.AHZAR

RESPONDENTS:

- 1. STATE OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY CHIEF SECRETARY
GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 001.**
- 2. AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTION COMMISSIONER
GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-1.**
- 3. DISTRICT COLLECTOR
CIVIL STATION, ALAPPUZHA-690 502.**
- 4. REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER
CHENGANNUR, ALAPPUZHA-689 121.**
- 5. AGRICULTURAL OFFICER
MUTHUKULAM P.O., KAYAMKULAM-690 502.**
- 6. VILLAGE OFFICER
MUTHUKULAM P.O., KAYAMKULAM-690 502.**

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.C.K. SHERIN

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
20-08-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

P1 : COPY OF THE TAX RECEIPT BY THE 5TH RESPONDENT.

P2 : COPY OF THE TAX RECEIPT BY THE 5TH RESPONDENT.

P3 : COPY OF THE STOP MEMO DTD.19.5.2014 BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT.

P4 : COPY OF THE OBJECTION DTD.14.7.2014 BY THE PETITIONER.

P5 : COPY OF THE PETITION DTD.22.5.2014 BY THE PETITIONER.

P6 : COPY OF THE NOTICE DTD.3.2.2015 BY THE 3RD RESPONDENT.

P7 : COPY OF THE ORDER DTD.18.4.2015 BY THE 3RD RESPONDENT.

P8 : COPY OF THE RELEVANT PAGES OF THE DATA BANK.

P9 : COPY OF THE REVISION PETITION DTD.4.5.2015.

P9(A) : COPY OF THE STAY PETITION DTD.4.5.2015.

P10 : COPY OF THE ORDER DTD.1.7.2015 BY THE 3RD RESPONDENT.

P11 : COPY OF THE REPLY DTD.6.8.2015.

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS

NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

JJJ

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.

W.P.(C) No. 24391 of 2015 (Y)

Dated this the 20th day of August, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The only prayer of the petitioner is that the petitioner has filed Ext.P9 revision against the order of the District Collector under the Kerala Conservation of Paddy Land and Wet Land Act, 2008. The petitioner prays that status quo may be directed till the revision is considered on merits.

2. Considering the confined prayer made, there shall be a status quo till the revision is disposed of specifically observing that the petitioner also shall not interfere further with the nature and lie of the property till the revision is disposed of. The 2nd respondent shall dispose of the revision as expeditiously as possible.

Writ Petition is disposed of.

Sd/-
K.VINOD CHANDRAN,
JUDGE