

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

**THE HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR.ASHOK BHUSHAN
&**

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.SHAFFIQUE

MONDAY, THE 17TH DAY OF AUGUST 2015/26TH SRAVANA, 1937

WP(C).No. 24229 of 2015 (C)

PETITIONER(S):

**THOMAS JOSEPH, T.C. 46/559,
NEAR CONVENT, POONTHURA P.O.,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.M.R.ANANDAKUTTAN,
SMT.M.A.ZOHRA,
SRI.MAHESH ANANDAKUTTAN,
SMT.S.SOUMYA ISSAC.**

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. THE CIRCLE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
POONTHURA POLICE STATION, POONTHURA P.O.,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 026.**
- 2. THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
POONTHURA POLICE STATION - 695 026.**
- 3. SURESH KUMAR, RESIDING AT T.C. 47/1306,
NEAR CONVENT, POONTHURA P.O.,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM- 695 026.**
- 4. PRIYA, RESIDING AT T.C. 47/1306,
NEAR CONVENT, POONTHURA P.O.,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM -695 026.**

R1 & R2 BY SR. GOVT. PLEADER SRI.C.R. SYAMKUMAR.

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 17-08-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

rs.

WP(C).No. 24229 of 2015 (C)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:-

**EXT.P-1: TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION BEFORE RESPONDENTS 1
AND 2 SEEKING POLICE PROTECTION WITH ENGLISH TRANSLATION.**

EXT.P-2: TRUE COPY OF THE POSTAL RECEIPTS OF THE EXT.P1.

RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS:- NIL.

//TRUE COPY//

P.S. TO JUDGE

rs.

ASHOK BHUSHAN, CJ

&

A.M.SHAFFIQUE, J.

W.P.C.No.24229 of 2015

Dated this the 17th day of August 2015

J U D G M E N T

Ashok Bhushan, CJ

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader. No notice is being issued to respondents 3 and 4 in view of the judgment being passed today.

2. Petitioner, by this writ petition, has sought for a direction to respondents 1 and 2 to grant sufficient and effective police protection. Petitioner's case in the writ petition is that respondents 3 and 4 are petitioner's neighbour and they are trying to snatch the property of the petitioner so as to annex it with their property. A complaint, Ext.P1 has been filed regarding an incident dated 19/05/2015.

3. The learned Government Pleader, after obtaining instructions, submits that the property adjoining to the petitioner's property belonging to his brother was sold to respondents 3 and 4. It is submitted that thereafter, several complaints have been filed. After receiving Ext.P1, petitioner was

called to appear at the police station and give his statement, but so far he has not appeared. It is submitted that all complaints are being sent by registered post and the petitioner has never appeared to substantiate his allegations.

4. In the above view of the matter, we see no reason to issue any direction, as prayed for. It is observed that if the petitioner approaches the police with any complaint, the same shall be looked into by the 2nd respondent and appropriate action shall be taken, in accordance with law.

With the above observation, this writ petition is closed.

(sd/-)
(ASHOK BHUSHAN, CHIEF JUSTICE)

(sd/-)
(A.M.SHAFFIQUE, JUDGE)

jsr

