

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE

THURSDAY, THE 17TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2015/26TH BHADRA, 1937

WP(C).No. 24201 of 2015 (A)

PETITIONER:

**NARAYANAN V.R.,
S/O.KESAVA PISHARADY, 232, VRINDAVANAM,
IRUMPAYAM KARA, VELLOOR, VAIKOM,
KOTTAYAM DISTRICT.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.V.M.KURIAN
SRI.MATHEW B. KURIAN
SRI.K.T.THOMAS
SRI.C.N.SREEKUMAR
SRI.ANIL PRASAD**

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY
THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
REVENUE DEPARTMENT, GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 001.**
- 2. LOCAL LEVEL MONITORING COMMITTEE,
VELLOOR GRAMA PANCHAYATH, VELLOOR,
VELLOOR P.O., KOTTAYAM DISTRICT, PIN-686 009,
REPRESENTED BY ITS CONVENOR.**
- 3. THE SECRETARY,
VELLOOR GRAMA PANCHAYATH, VELLOOR P.O.,
KOTTAYAM DISTRICT, PIN-686 009.**
- 4. THE AGRICULTURAL OFFICER, VELLOOR,
VELLOOR P.O., KOTTAYAM DISTRICT, PIN-686 009.**
- 5. THE CHAIRMAN,
LOCAL LEVEL MONITORING COMMITTEE,
VELLOOR GRAMA PANCHAYATH, VELLOOR P.O.,
KOTTAYAM DISTRICT, PIN-686 009.**

R1 & R4 BY SPECIAL GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.P.K.SOYUZ

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 17-09-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

WP(C).No. 24201 of 2015 (A)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS:

- EXT.P1. TRUE COPY OF SETTLEMENT DEED NO.1643/2012 OF SUB REGISTRY
OFFICE, THALAYOLAPARAMBU IN FAVOUR OF THE PETITIONER.**
- EXT.P2. TRUE COPY OF BASIC TAX RECEIPT DATED 23/6/2014 FOR THE YEAR
2014-15 ISSUED BY THE VILLAGE OFFICER, VELLOOR.**
- EXT.P3. TRUE COPY OF LOCATION SKETCH ISSUED BY THE VILLAGE
OFFICER, VELLOOR.**
- EXT.P4. TRUE COPY OF ORDER DATED 7.11.2014 ISSUED BY THE
3RD RESPONDENT.**

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS: NIL.

//TRUE COPY//

P.S. TO JUDGE

mbr/

A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE, J.

=====

W.P.(C).No. 24201 of 2015

=====

Dated this the 17th day of September, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner aggrieved by a rejection of request for converting paddy land for the purpose of constructing residential building has approached this Court.

2. The learned Government Pleader on instructions that the petitioner has not approached the 5th respondent along with requisite application. This Court is also view from the impugned order that the petitioner has not approached the 5th respondent with any requisite application.

3. In that view of the matter, the petitioner is at liberty to approach the 5th respondent with requisite application as contemplated under the Form No.I of Act 28 of 2008. If such an application is received from the petitioner, appropriate decision shall be taken by the 5th respondent and forward the same to the

District Level Monitoring Committee within two months from the date of receipt of such application.

The writ petition is disposed of, as above.

sd/-

sab

A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE, JUDGE