

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU

TUESDAY, THE 29TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2015/7TH ASWINA, 1937

WP(C).No. 23860 of 2015 (F)

PETITIONER:

NALINI P.K., AGED 50 YEARS,
W/O MOHANDAS, MAROLI HOSUE, PATHAYAKKUNNU,
PATTIAM, KANNUR DISTRICT-670 691.

BY ADV. SMT.BIMALA BABY

RESPONDENTS:

1. THE REGISTRAR OF CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES,
OFFICE OF THE REGISTRAR OF CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 001.
2. THE JOINT REGISTRAR OF CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES (GENERAL),
CIVIL STATION, KANNUR-670 001.
3. THE ASSISTANT REGISTRAR (GENERAL),
CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES, KOOTHUPARAMBA, KANNUR-670 001.
4. PATTIAM VANITHA CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETY LIMITED NO.C.1138,
PATHAYAKKUNNU, THALASSERY TALUK, KANNUR DISTRICT,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY-670 141.
5. THE SECRETARY,
PATTIAM VANITHA CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETY LIMITED NO.C.1138,
PATHAYAKKUNNU, THALASSERY TALUK,
KANNUR DISTRICT-670 141.
6. THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE,
PATTIAM VANITHA CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETY LIMITED NO.C.1138,
PATHAYAKKUNNU, THALASSERY TALUK, KANNUR DISTRICT,
REPRESENTED BY ITS PRESIDENT-670 141.
7. ANEESH PARAKKAL,
DELIVERYMAN-IN-CHARGE,
PATTIAM VANITHA CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETY LIMITED NO.C.1138,
PATHAYAKKUNNU, THALASSERY TALUK,
KANNUR DISTRICT, RESIDING AT PARAKKAL, PATTIAM,
PATHAYAKKUNNU-670 141.
8. JISHA HARENDRAN,
MANAGER GAS AGENCY,
PATTIAM VANITHA CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETY LIMITED NO.C.1138,
PATHAYAKKUNNU, THALASSERY TALUK,
KANNUR DISTRICT-670 141.

R BY SRI. G. GOPAKUMAR, GOVERNMENT PLEADER

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON
29-09-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

: 2 :

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS :

EXHIBIT P1: TRUE COPY OF THE COMPLAINT DATED 8.2.2015 SUBMITTED
BEFORE THE 1ST RESPONDENT.

EXHIBIT P2: TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DATED 20.7.2015 SUBMITTED
BEFORE THE 1ST RESPONDENT.

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS: NIL

/True Copy/

P.A to Judge.

DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU, J.

W.P. (C) No. 23860 of 2015 (F)

Dated this the 29th day of September, 2015.

JUDGMENT

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader, apart from perusing the record. Despite service of notice, there is no representation for respondents 4 to 8. Since the issue lies in a narrow compass, this Court proposes to dispose of the writ petition.

2. The petitioner, a member of the 4th respondent society, initially submitted Ext.P1 complaint to the first respondent complaining of what are said to be large scale irregularities, misappropriation and mismanagement in the 4th respondent society. In the course of time, when nothing concrete has emerged out of the petitioner's Ext.P1 complaint, she has once again submitted Ext.P2 representation seeking vigilance enquiry into the affairs of the 4th respondent Bank and other incidental steps such as suspending the present Board of Directors. Ventilating her grievance that there is delay in the first respondent's considering the petitioner's representation, she has filed the present writ

petition.

3. In response to the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the learned Government Pleader has submitted that the first respondent will consider the petitioner's Exts.P1 and P2 representations and pass appropriate orders expeditiously.

In the facts and circumstances, having regard to the respective submissions of the learned Counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader, this Court disposes of the writ petition with a direction to the first respondent to consider the petitioner's Exts.P1 and P2 representations in accordance with law and pass appropriate orders thereon, as expeditiously as possible, at any rate, within three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

sd/- DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU, JUDGE.

rv

