

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.V.RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI

MONDAY, THE 10TH DAY OF AUGUST 2015/19TH SRAVANA, 1937

WP(C).No. 23730 of 2015 (M)

PETITIONER :

**VED PRAKASH, AGED 24 YEARS,
S/O. HAREENDRA CHAUDHARY, BAJAJ NAGAR, RL - 72
AURANGABAD, MAHARASHTRA - 431136.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.SHERRY J. THOMAS
SMT.THAMANA BAI**

RESPONDENTS :

- 1. COCHIN UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY
REPRESENTED BY ITS REGISTRAR, CUSAT CAMPUS,
KALAMASSERY 683 104.**
- 2. CONTROLLER OF EXAMINATIONS,
CUSAT, KALAMASSERY 683 104.**

BY SRI.MILLU DANDAPANI

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 10-08-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

bp

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT P1: COPY OF THE HALL TICKET OF THE 1ST PETITIONER.

EXHIBIT P2: COPY OF THE COURSE PROSPECTUS OF HIGHER EDUCATION.

EXHIBIT P3: COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DATED 30.7.15 SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE 2ND RESPONDENT.

EXHIBIT P4: COPY OF THE QUESTIONNAIRE SUBMITTED BEFORE THE PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICER OF 1ST RESPONDENT.

EXHIBIT P4a: COPY OF THE ANSWERS TO THE RTI SUBMITTED BY THE OFFICE OF THE 1ST RESPONDENT.

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS : NIL.

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

bp

A.V. RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI, J.

W.P.(C) No. 23730 of 2015

Dated this the 10th day of August, 2015.

JUDGMENT

The petitioner has approached this Court seeking a direction to the respondent to publish the revaluation result at the earliest.

2. The petitioner was B.Tech Student under the first respondent university. He completed the course and secured good marks in all the subjects except one, for which the petitioner had written the supplementary examinations along with the regular batch in April 2015. The petitioner alleges that he joined for higher studies and production of mark list of supplementary examination is necessary. The petitioner appeared on 8.5.2015 for paper 101 Engineering Mathematics for the supplementary examination. The petitioner further alleges that respondent university is slow in publishing the results of supplementary examinations and they are intending to publish the same along with the regular examination results for their convenience, which is against the interest of justice.

The fee prescribed for the supplementary examination is higher when compared to the regular exam fee. The university is bound to publish the result of supplementary examination on an early date at least in case of course completed/passed out students; otherwise it would affect their career prospects. The inaction on the part of university would be detrimental to the carrier of the petitioner. So far the respondent university has not framed any guidelines for the publication of result of supplementary examinations.

3. Today, when the matter came up for hearing, the learned standing counsel for the respondent university submitted that the revaluation process is going on and the respondent university may be able to declare the result by the end of September, 2015.

Recording the said submission, the writ petition is disposed of directing the respondent to adhere to the above time schedule and to publish the result of the petitioner within the aforesaid time without fail.

Sd/-
A.V. RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI
JUDGE