

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.V.RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI

WEDNESDAY, THE 21ST DAY OF JANUARY 2015/1ST MAGHA, 1936

WP(C).No. 27498 of 2012 (J)

PETITIONER

**NARAYANAN NAMBOODIRI P.M. AGED 65 YEARS
S/O.LATE NARAYANAN NAMBOODIRI, KARUTHEDATHU MANA
KODALLUR, MELE PATTAMBI P.O., PALAKKAD-679306.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.SANTHEEP ANKARATH
SRI.ARUN MATHEW VADAKKAN**

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER
OTTAPALAM, PALAKKAD DISTRICT-679101.**
- 2. THE TAHSILDAR
TALUK OFFICE, OTTAPALAM-679101.**
- 3. THE RE-SURVEY SUPERINTENDENT
PATTAMBI, PALAKKAD DISTRICT, PIN-679303.**
- 4. THE VILLAGE OFFICER
ONGALLUR VILLAGE-1, ONGALLUR, KALLADIPATTA P.O.
PALAKKAD DISTRICT, PIN-679313.**

R1-R4 BY ADV. GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT. SUNITHA VINOD

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 21-01-
2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S) EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT P1:	TRUE COPY OF THE PARTITION DEED NO.1078 OF 1974 OF PATTAMBI SUB REGISTRAR'S OFFICE.
EXHIBIT P2:	TRUE COPY OF THE BASIC TAX RECEIPT DT.16-4-2008 ISSUED BY THE VILLAGE OFFICER, ONGALLUR-I VILLAGE.
EXHIBIT P3:	TRUE COPY OF THE PETITION DT.2-5-2005 SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE R3.
EXHIBIT P4:	TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION DT.14-5-2009 SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE SURVEY ADALATH HEADED BY R3.
EXHIBIT P5:	TRUE COPY OF THE RECEIPT DT.14-5-2009 ISSUED BY THE R3.
EXHIBIT P6:	TRUE COPY OF THE BASIC TAX DT.25-4-2011 ISSUED BY VILLAGE OFIFCER, ONGALLUR VILLAGE.
EXHIBIT P7:	TRUE COPY OF THE CIRCULAR DT.1-6-2010 ISSUED BY SURVEY DIRECTOR, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.
EXHIBIT P8:	TRUE COPY OF THE PETITION 10-11-2012 SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE R2.

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS

NIL

TRUE COPY

P.A TO JUDGE

SMM

A.V.RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI,J

.....

W.P.C. No.27498 of 2012

.....

Dated this the 21st day of January,2015.

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner has approached this Court aggrieved by the non disposal of an application for measurement of the properties of the petitioner.

2. In the counter affidavit filed by the second respondent it is stated that the property has been measured and the boundaries have been refixed. Therefore, according to the respondents Ext.P8 has been disposed of .

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is not in a possession to know the nature of the order as the copy of the proceedings resulting in the fixation of boundaries has not been served upon.

Therefore the writ petition is disposed of directing the respondents to make available a copy of the proceedings to the petitioner so that it will be open to the petitioner to challenge the proceedings in appropriate

forum if he is really aggrieved by the decision already taken.

A.V.RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI,
JUDGE

smm