

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

WEDNESDAY, THE 5TH DAY OF AUGUST 2015/14TH SRAVANA, 1937

WP(C).No. 23643 of 2015 (E)

PETITIONER(S):

- 1. K.C.PARAMESWARAN, AGED 78,
S/O.CHANDRAN, KOTTADAYIL HOUSE,
NETTOOR.P.O, PIN - 682 040.**
- 2. SONIA RAJEEV, W/O.RAJEEV, AGED 42,
AKBALATHUNKAL HOUSE, EROOR SOUTH.P.O.,
THRIPUNITHURA - 682 306.**

**BY SRI.S.SREEKUMAR (SENIOR ADVOCATE)
ADVS.SRI.P.MARTIN JOSE
SRI.M.A.MOHAMMED SIRAJ
SRI.P.PRIJITH
SRI.THOMAS P.KURUVILLA
SRI.AJAY BEN JOSE**

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR,
CIVIL STATION, KAKKANADU, ERNAKULAM.**
- 2. THE VILLAGE OFFICER, NADAMA VILLAGE,
TRIPUNITHURA.**
- 3. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF SURVEY,
CIVIL STATION, KAKKANADU.**
- 4. THE ADDITIONAL TAHASILDAR,
TALUK SURVEY OFFICE, KANAYANNUR TALUK,
ERNAKULAM, KOCHIN - 682 011.**

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT.CK.SHERIN

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 05-08-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

mbr/

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

- EXT. P1 : TRUE COPY OF SALE DEED NO.1883/2005 OF THRIPUNITHURA SRO.
- EXT. P2 : TRUE COPY OF BASIC TAX RECEIPT FOR THE PERIOD 2005-2006
DATED 3.6.2005 ISSUED BY VILLAGE OFFICER, NADAMA VILLAGE.
- EXT. P3 : TRUE COPY OF BASIC TAX RECEIPT FOR THE PERIOD OF 2011-2012
DATED 26.5.2011 ISSUED BY VILLAGE OFFICER, NADAMA VILLAGE.
- EXT. P4 : TRUE COPY OF SETTLEMENT DEED NO.2198/2012.
- EXT. P5 : TRUE COPY OF BASIC TAX RECEIPT DATED 17.8.2013 FOR THE
PERIOD 2013-2014.
- EXT. P6 : TRUE COPY OF REPRESENTATION DATED 11.9.2014 SUBMITTED
BEFORE THE 2ND RESPONDENT VILLAGE OFFICER.
- EXT. P7 : TRUE COPY OF REPRESENTATION DATED 16.7.2015 SUBMITTED BY
THE 1ST PETITIONER BEFORE THE 4TH RESPONDENT ON 23.7.2015.
- EXT. P8 : TRUE COPY OF THE ACKNOWLEDGEMENT RECEIPT DATED 23.7.2015
ISSUED FROM KANAYANNUR TALUK OFFICE.

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS: NIL.

//TRUE COPY//

P.S. TO JUDGE

mbr/

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.

=====

W.P.(C) No.23643 of 2015 - E

=====

Dated this the 5th day of August, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioners are aggrieved with the non-consideration of Ext.P7 representation filed before the 4th respondent. The application is seen filed only on 23.07.2015. The authority definitely will have to look at the similar applications pending before it and dispose of the same in accordance with law however, taking up for disposal those applications filed earlier in point of time first. No warrant for issuance of mandamus exists as of now. Ext.P7 would be considered as expeditiously as possible.

The writ petition is disposed of.

**Sd/-
K. VINOD CHANDRAN,
JUDGE**

SB

// true copy //

P.A to Judge.