

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.V.RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI

WEDNESDAY, THE 12TH DAY OF AUGUST 2015/21ST SRAVANA, 1937

WP(C).No. 23575 of 2015 (V)

PETITIONER(S):

**SUDHAMANI,
W/O.DINESAN, CHIRAYIL HOUSE, PERINGARA P.O.,
MEPRAL, THIRUVALLA.**

BY ADV. SRI.O.D.SIVADAS

RESPONDENT(S):

**THE SECRETARY,
REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY,
PATHANAMTHITTA - 689 645.**

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT.K.A.SANJEETHA

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
12-08-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

msv/

WP(C).No. 23575 of 2015 (V)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

**P1- TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION FOR REGULAR PERMIT SUBMITTED BY THE
PETITIONER WITH CHELAN.**

**P2- TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION FOR TEMPORARY PERMIT SUBMITTED BY
THE PETITIONER WITH CHELAN.**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS:

NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A.TO JUDGE.

MsV/

A.V. RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI, J.

W.P.(C) No. 23575 of 2015

Dated this the 12th day of August, 2015.

JUDGMENT

Aggrieved by the non-issue of temporary permit by the respondent, the petitioner has come up before this Court.

2. The petitioner alleges that he submitted an application for regular permit on the route between Chungapara and Thiruvalla, Mepral via Kallungal. The petitioner alleges that though Ext.P1 application was submitted, no effective steps have been taken by the respondent to place it before the meeting of the Regional Transport Authority. The inaction on the part of the respondent is causing great hardship to the petitioner. Since the application for regular permit has to be considered by the RTA and there is no meeting of the authority, the petitioner submitted Ext.P2 application for temporary permit on the route between Chungapara and Thiruvalla, Mepral via Kallungal. No decision has been taken by the respondent in the above

application. This is illegal and interference of this Court is absolutely necessary to protect the interests of the travelling public; it is alleged.

3. I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader in the matter.

Considering the nature of the submissions and the nature of the reliefs sought for, the writ petition is disposed of directing the respondent to consider and pass appropriate orders on Ext.P2, after affording the petitioner an opportunity of being heard, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

To facilitate an early action, it shall be open to the petitioner to produce a copy of the writ petition as well as the copy of this judgment before the respondent at the earliest.

Sd/-
A.V. RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI
JUDGE

Scl.