

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE

WEDNESDAY, THE 2ND DAY OF DECEMBER 2015/11TH AGRAHAYANA, 1937

WP(C).No. 23468 of 2015 (G)

PETITIONER:

**THANKAMANI, AGED 64 YEARS,
D/O.NARAYANI AMMA, ELAMPATHU HOUSE,
NILAMPATHINJAMUKAL, KAKKANAD,
ERNAKULAM DISTRICT.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.GEORGE SEBASTIAN
SRI.EBY P.PAUL**

RESPONDENTS:

- 1. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR,
COLLECTORATE, KAKKANAD - 682030.**
- 2. THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF SURVEY,
COLLECTORATE, ERNAKULAM DISTRICT,
KAKKANAD - 682030.**
- 3. THE RESURVEY SUPERINTENDENT,
THRIPUNITURA - 682301.**
- 4. THE TAHSILDAR,
KANAYANNUR TALUK, ERNAKULAM DIST.-682011.**
- 5. THE VILLAGE OFFICER,
KAKKANAD, ERNAKULAM DIST. -682030**

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.BIJU MEENATTOOR

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 02-12-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

mbr/

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS:

- P1:- A TRUE COPY OF THE BASIC TAX RECEIPT DTD 22/7/1999 ISSUED FROM VILLAGE OFFICE, KAKKANAD.
- P2:- A TRUE COPY OF THE COMPLAINT DTD 25/5/2005 SUBMITTED BY MR. VARGHESE BEFORE THE 2ND RESPONDENT.
- P3:- A TRUE COPY OF THE NOTICE DTD 27/5/2005 ISSUED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT.
- P4:- A TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER DTD 21/7/2005 BEARING NO G31385/2005 PASSED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT.
- P5:- A TRUE COPY OF THE COMMUNICATION DTD 23/1/2008 ISSUED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT TO THE 5TH RESPONDENT.
- P6:- A TRUE COPY OF THE NOTICE DTD 10/12/2008 ISSUED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT WITH RESPECT TO THE INSPECTION SCHEDULED ON 30/12/2008.
- P7:- A TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DTD 2/7/2015 FILED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE 1ST RESPONDENT.
- P8:- A TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DTD 2/7/2015 FILED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE 2ND RESPONDENT.

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS: NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.S. TO JUDGE

mbr/

A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE, J.

=====

W.P.(C).No. 23468 of 2015

=====

Dated this the 2nd day of December, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner has approached this Court on account of non implementation of Ext.P4 order of the 2nd respondent Revenue Divisional Officer. As per Ext.P4 order, it has been ordered that Thandaper account has to retained in the name of the petitioner's late mother.

This Court is of the view that if the Ext.P4 order has not been interdicted or varied by any other competent authority or Court, the respondent shall implement the aforesaid order without any delay. Needful shall be done by the 4th respondent within a period of two months from the date of receipt of the copy of this judgment.

The writ petition is disposed of, as above.

sd/-

sab

A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE, JUDGE

