

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

MONDAY, THE 5TH DAY OF OCTOBER 2015/13TH ASWINA, 1937

W.P.(C).No.33687 of 2005 (I)

PETITIONER(S):-

DAISY MATHEW, W/O.MATHEW,
PALATTY HOUSE, PERUMANOOR P.O., KOCHI-15,
(STAFF NURSE ON CONTRACT BASIS
AT COCHIN PORT TRUST HOSPITAL).

BY SENIOR ADVOCATE SRI.K.RAMAKUMAR.

RESPONDENT(S):-

-
1. THE COCHIN PORT TRUST,
REP. BY ITS CHAIRMAN, WILLINGTON ISLAND, KOCHI.
 2. THE CHIEF MEDICAL OFFICER,
COCHIN PORT TRUST HOSPITAL, WILLINGTON ISLAND, KOCHI.
 3. JUBY ROSA, STAFF NURSE (CONTRACT BASIS),
COCHIN PORT TRUST HOSPITAL, WILLINGTON ISLAND, KOCHI.

R1 & R2 BY ADVS. SRI.B.S.KRISHNAN (SENIOR ADVOCATE)
SRI.K.ANAND (SENIOR ADVOCATE)
SMT.LATHA KRISHNAN.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON
05-10-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:-

W.P.(C).NO.33687 OF 2005-I

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:-

-
- | | |
|--------|---|
| EXT.P1 | PHOTOSTAT COPY OF THE NOTIFICATION DATED 11.11.2004
ISSUED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT. |
| EXT.P2 | PHOTOSTAT COPY OF THE LATEST ORDER DATED 20.09.2005
ISSUED TO THE PETITIONER BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT. |
| EXT.P3 | PHOTOSTAT COPY OF THE MEMO DATED 11.11.2005
ISSUED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT. |
| EXT.P4 | PHOTOSTAT COPY OF THE ADMISSION TICKET FOR WRITTEN
TEST ISSUED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT. |
| EXT.P5 | TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER DATED 5.12.2005 ISSUED BY
THE CHIEF MEDICAL OFFICER, MEDICAL DEPT.,
COCHIN PORT TRUST. |

RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS:-

----- NIL.

vku/-

[true copy]

K. Vinod Chandran, J

W.P.(C).No.33687 of 2005-I

Dated this the 05th day of October, 2015

JUDGMENT

The petitioner was aggrieved with Exhibit P1 notification. According to her, the petitioner had been appointed on contract basis in the year 2001 and continued as a Staff Nurse with promises of regularisation, which never materialised. Subsequently, by Exhibit P1 a fresh notification was issued, aggrieved by which the petitioner was before this Court. On 06.01.2006, there was a direction by this Court to continue the services of the petitioner.

2. The learned Standing Counsel for the Cochin Port Trust would submit, that, the petitioner was continued till the year 2006. In 2006, the petitioner resigned from the services and left on her own accord.

In the above circumstances, nothing survives in the writ petition and the same would stand dismissed. No costs.

Sd/-

K.Vinod Chandran
Judge.

vkul/-

[true copy]