

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE P.V.ASHA

FRIDAY, THE 31ST DAY OF JULY 2015/9TH SRAVANA, 1937

WP(C).No. 23182 of 2015 (N)

PETITIONER(S) :

1. THE COCHIN REFINERIES EMPLOYEES ASSOCIATION,
REGISTRATION NO.120/67, AMBALAMUGAL,
KOCHI-682 302,
REPRESENTED BY ITS GENERAL SECRETARY.
2. P.N.SURENDRAN NAIR,
GENERAL SECRETARY AND THE COCHIN REFINERIES
EMPLOYEES SUPERANNUATION BENEFIT FUND TRUSTEE,
COCHIN REFINERIES LTD., AMBALAMUGAL,
KOCHI-682 302.

BY ADV. SRI.MOHAN C.MENON

RESPONDENT(S) :

1. THE COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX,
I.S.PRESS ROAD, ERNAKULAM.
2. THE CHAIRMAN AND MANAGING DIRECTOR (CRL),
BHARAT PETROLEUM CORPORATION LTD.,
AMBALAMUGAL, KOCHI - 682 302.
3. THE MANAGING TRUSTEE,
THE COCHIN REFINERIES,
EMPLOYEES SUPERANNUATION BENEFIT FUND,
AMBALAMUGAL.
4. THE COCHIN REFINERIES OFFICERS' ASSOCIATION,
BY ITS GENERAL SECRETARY,
AMBALAMUGAL, KOCHI 682 302.
5. THE COCHIN REFINERIES WORKERS' ASSOCIATION,
BY ITS GENERAL SECRETARY,
AMBALAMUGAL, KOCHI-682 302.

R BY SRI.JOSE JOSEPH, SC, FOR INCOME TAX
R BY SRI.M.GOPIKRISHNAN NAMBIAR

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 31-07-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

WP(C).No. 23182 of 2015 (N)

A P P E N D I X

PETITIONERS' EXHIBITS

EXT.P1: TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DT.20.07.2015 GIVEN TO THE
1ST RESPONDENT.

EXT.P2: TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DT.27.7.2015 GIVEN TO THE 1ST
RESPONDENT BY THE 5TH RESPONDENT.

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS

NIL.

/TRUE COPY/

P.S TO JUDGE

P.V.ASHA, J.

W.P(c) No.23182 of 2015-W

Dated this the 31st day of July, 2015

JUDGMENT

The petitioner - Cochin Refineries Employees' Association, has filed this Writ Petition against the functioning of the Cochin Refineries' Employees Superannuation Benefit Fund (CRESBF) Trust. They have submitted Ext.P1 representation before the 1st respondent - The Commissioner of Income Tax. Similar complaints have been submitted by other trade unions also, as evident from Ext.P2.

2. As the petitioner had already approached the 1st respondent explaining their grievances regarding the pension scheme, it will be only appropriate that the 1st respondent considers the same and passes orders.

3. I heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner as well as that of the respondents.

In the circumstances of the case, there will be a direction to the 1st respondent to consider and pass orders on Ext.P1, after affording an opportunity of being heard to the petitioner and any other affected parties, within a period of two months.

The Writ Petition is disposed of accordingly.

Sd/-
(P.V.ASHA, JUDGE)

rtr/