

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

**THE HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR.ASHOK BHUSHAN
&
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.SHAFFIQUE**

MONDAY, THE 3RD DAY OF AUGUST 2015/12TH SRAVANA, 1937

WP(C).No. 22997 of 2015 (Y)

PETITIONER(S) :

**DEEPA M.V., AGED 25 YEARS,
D/O.VISWAN, MALIYEKKAL HOUSE, NAYARAMBALAM P.O.,
ERNAKULAM-682 509.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.C.A.CHACKO
SMT.C.M.CHARISMA
SMT.MEGHA K.XAVIER**

RESPONDENT(S) :

- 1. THE DISTRICT POLICE CHIEF,
ERNAKULAM- 682 011.**
- 2. THE CIRCLE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
NJARACKAL, ERNAKULAM DISRICT- 682 505.**
- 3. CLIFSON VARGHESE,
S/O.VARGHESE, PAYYAPPILLY HOUSE, NEAR DON BOSCO CHURCH,
NORTH PARAVUR- 683 513.**

R1 & R2 BY SR.GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.C.R.SYAMKUMAR

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 03-08-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

Msd.

WP(C).No. 22997 of 2015 (Y)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS :

**EXHIBIT-P1: PHOTOGRAPHS OF CEREMONIES OF PETITIONER'S MARRIAGE
ENGAGEMENT.**

EXHIBIT-P2: TRUE COPY OF INVITATION CARD OF PETITIONER'S MARRIAGE.

**EXHIBIT-P3: TRUE COPY OF REPRESENTATION DATED 23/06/2015 MADE
BEFORE THE 2ND RESPONDENT WITH COPY TO
1ST RESPONDENT WITH ENGLISH TRANSLATION.**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS :

NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A.TO JUDGE.

Msd.

**ASHOK BHUSHAN, CJ
& A.M.SHAFFIQUE, J.**

W.P.C.No.22997 of 2015

Dated this the 3rd day of August 2015

J U D G M E N T

Ashok Bhushan, CJ

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader.

2. This writ petition has been filed by the petitioner praying for a direction to respondents 1 and 2 to provide adequate police protection to the petitioner and her family members and also to conduct petitioner's marriage on 19/8/2015 against the illegal interference and threat of the 3rd respondent and his men. Petitioner's case is that although the 3rd respondent was known to her and were good friends, it is submitted that petitioner's marriage is now settled and to be performed on 19/08/2015. A complaint was sent by the petitioner as Ext.P3 to the Circle Inspector of Police.

3. The learned Government Pleader, after obtaining instructions, submits that after receiving the complaint, both the petitioner and the 3rd respondent were called and on their

insistence, crime was not registered. 3rd respondent, however, informed the police that no interference will be caused by him.

4. In view of the above, we are of the view that if there is any interference at the instance of the 3rd respondent, it shall be open for the petitioner to file a complaint and the police authorities shall take appropriate action, in accordance with law.

With the above observation, this writ petition is closed.

(sd/-)
(ASHOK BHUSHAN, CHIEF JUSTICE)

(sd/-)
(A.M.SHAFFIQUE, JUDGE)

jsr

